arXiv:1610.04374v1 [math.DG] 14 Oct 2016

Basic Kirwan Surjectivity for K-Contact Manifolds

Lana Casselmann*

GRK 1670
University of Hamburg
Bundesstraße 55
20146 Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

We prove an analogue of Kirwan surjectivity in the setting of equivariant basic cohomology of K-contact manifolds. If the Reeb vector field induces a free S^1-action, the S^1-quotient is a symplectic manifold and our result reproduces Kirwan's surjectivity for these symplectic manifolds. We further prove a Tolman-Weitsman type description of the kernel of the basic Kirwan map for S^1-actions and show that torus actions on a K-contact manifold that preserve the contact form and admit 0 as a regular value of the contact moment map are equivariantly formal in the basic setting.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 K-Contact Manifolds **3**
2.1 Preliminaries 3
2.2 Basic Differential forms 5
2.3 Contact Moment Map 8

3 Equivariant basic cohomology **14**
3.1 Equivariant Cohomology of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga 14
3.2 Equivariant basic Cohomology 16

*lana.casselmann@uni-hamburg.de

4 Basic Kirwan Surjectivity **21**

5 Examples **26**
5.1 Boothby-Wang fibration 26
5.2 S^1-action on a Weighted Sphere 27

6 The Kernel of the Kirwan Map **29**

7 Equivariant Formality **33**

1 Introduction

The well known Kirwan surjectivity asserts that if Ψ is a moment map for a Hamiltonian action of a compact group G on a compact symplectic manifold N and 0 a regular value thereof, then the Kirwan map $H^*_G(N) \to H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0))$ induced by the inclusion is an epimorphism ([Kir84]). The analogous statement for contact manifolds is known to no longer hold, as the following example shows (compare [Ler04]).

Example 1.1. Consider the 3-sphere $S^3 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with the S^1-action defined by $\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda^{-1} z_2)$. Then $X(z_1, z_2) = (iz_1, -iz_2)$ is the fundamental vector field of $1 \in \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathfrak{s}^1$. Considering the ($S^1$-invariant) contact form $\alpha = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (z_j d\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_j dz_j)$, we compute the contact moment map (see Section 2.3) to be

$$\Psi \colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2.$$

Since the S^1-action is free, the equivariant cohomology is simply the ordinary cohomology of the S^1-quotient and we compute

$$H^*_{S^1}(S^3) = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1), \qquad H^*_{S^1}(\Psi^{-1}(0)) = H^*(S^1).$$

But there cannot exist an epimorphism from $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ to $H^*(S^1)$.

This motivates the search for a modification of the Kirwan map in the contact case such that surjectivity holds. In the setting of basic equivariant cohomology, our result states as follows.

Theorem. *Let (M, α) be a compact K-contact manifold and ξ its Reeb vector field. Let G be a torus that acts on M, preserving α. Denote with $\Psi \colon M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ the contact moment map and suppose that 0 is a regular value of Ψ. Then the inclusion $\Psi^{-1}(0) \subset M$ induces an epimorphism in equivariant basic cohomology*

$$H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}).$$

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall fundamentals of K-contact geometry and consider torus actions on compact K-contact manifolds that leave the contact form invariant and allow for 0 to be a regular value of the contact moment map Ψ. We show that a basis (X_s) of the Lie algebra of the torus can be chosen in such a way that certain axioms are fulfilled. We derive the Morse-Bott property of the functions $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$, where $Y_s = (\Psi^{X_1}, ..., \Psi^{X_s})^{-1}(0)$. We begin Section 3 by briefly recalling the concept of equivariant cohomology and then proceed to discuss equivariant basic cohomology of K-contact manifolds and properties thereof. The surjectivity result is stated and proved in Section 4. In Section 5, we present examples and establish that in the case that the Reeb vector field induces a free S^1-action, our result reproduces the Kirwan surjectivity for the S^1-quotient. A Tolman-Weitsman type description of the kernel of the basic Kirwan map for S^1-actions is derived in Section 6. We conclude this paper by proving the equivariant formality of said torus actions on K-contact manifolds.

Acknowledgments
The author would like to thank Oliver Goertsches for suggesting the topic of this paper, numerous helpful discussions, his careful guidance and critical reading of the manuscript, as well as Jonathan Fisher for helpful conversations. This research was supported by the RTG 1670 "Mathematics inspired by String Theory and Quantum Field Theory", funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

2 K-Contact Manifolds

2.1 Preliminaries

This section serves the purpose to establish terminology and notation and to recall basic facts on K-contact manifolds. We work with the following notion of contact manifolds.

Definition 2.1. A *contact manifold* is a pair (M, α), where M is a manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ is a *contact form*, i.e., $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ is nowhere zero.

Recall that there is a unique vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the *Reeb vector field*, defined by $\alpha(\xi) = 1$, $d\alpha(\xi, -) = 0$, so that we have a splitting $TM \cong \ker \alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$.

The flow of ξ is denoted by ψ_t and the 1-dimensional foliation it induces by $\mathcal{F}$.

If ξ induces a free S^1-action, $M/\{\psi_t\}$ is a manifold and $d\alpha$ descends to a symplectic form on $M/\{\psi_t\}$ (Boothby-Wang fibration). This, however, is usually not the case.

Definition 2.2. Let (M, α) be a contact manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M is called *contact metric* if

(i) $\ker \alpha \perp_g \ker d\alpha$

(ii) $g|_{\ker d\alpha} = \alpha \otimes \alpha$

(iii) $g|_{\ker \alpha}$ is compatible with the symplectic form $d\alpha$, i.e., there exists a $(1,1)$-tensor field J on $\Gamma(\ker \alpha)$ such that $g = d\alpha(J\cdot, \cdot)$ and $J^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$.

(M, α) is called *K-contact* if there exists a contact metric g on M with $L_\xi g = 0$, i.e., such that ξ is Killing.

Example 2.3. For $n \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, w_j > 0$, consider the sphere

$$S^{2n+1} = \left\{ z = (z_0, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \sum\nolimits_{j=0}^{n} |z_j|^2 = 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

endowed with the following contact form α and corresponding Reeb vector field ξ

$$\alpha_w = \frac{\frac{i}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} z_j d\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_j dz_j\right)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j |z_j|^2}, \quad \xi_w = i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j (z_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j})\right).$$

(S^{2n+1}, α_w) is called *weighted Sasakian structure on S^{2n+1}*, cf. [BG08, Example 7.1.12]. In particular, (S^{2n+1}, α_w) is a K-contact manifold. For $w = (1, ..., 1)$, we obtain the standard contact form on the sphere. Notice that the underlying contact *structure* $\ker \alpha_w$ is independent of the choice of weight w.

Throughout this paper, we consider a connected, compact K-contact manifold (M, α, g) with Reeb vector field ξ, on which a torus G acts in such a way that it preserves the contact form α, i.e., $g^*\alpha = \alpha$ for every $g \in G$. We refer to, e.g., [GNT11, Section 2] or [Bla76] for preliminary considerations. Note that only from Lemma 2.19 on we will assume the G-action to be isometric. We denote the Lie algebra of G by $\mathfrak{g}$ and the fundamental vector field induced by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ on M by X_M, i.e.,

$$X_M(x) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \exp(tX) \cdot x.$$

(M, α, g) admits a $(1,1)$-tensor J such that we have the following identities for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where ∇ denotes the Levi Civita connection of g (see, e.g., [Bla76, pp. 25f, p. 64])

$$J\xi = 0, \quad J^2 = -\operatorname{Id} + \alpha \otimes \xi, \tag{2.1}$$
$$\alpha(X) = g(\xi, X), \tag{2.2}$$
$$g(X, JY) = d\alpha(X, Y), \tag{2.3}$$
$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y) - \alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \tag{2.4}$$
$$(\nabla_X J)Y = R(\xi, X)Y, \tag{2.5}$$
$$\nabla_X \xi = -JX. \tag{2.6}$$

We set

$$M_\xi := \{x \in M \mid \xi(x) \in T_x(G \cdot x)\},$$
$$M_\varnothing := \{x \in M \mid \xi(x) \notin T_x(G \cdot x)\}.$$

Recall that $T_x(G \cdot x) = \{X_M(x) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. For $x \in M_\xi$, choose any $X^x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^x_M(x) = \xi(x)$. This X^x is unique modulo $\mathfrak{g}_x = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X_M(x) = 0\}$. We define the *generalized isotropy algebra* of $x \in M$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X_M(x) \in \mathbb{R}\xi(x)\} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus \mathbb{R}X^x & x \in M_\xi \\ \mathfrak{g}_x & x \in M_\varnothing \end{cases}.$$

We denote by $T = \overline{\{\psi_t\}} \subset Isom(M, g)$ the torus acting on M that is the closure of the Reeb flow in the isometry group of (M, g). Since G and T commute, we can then consider the action of the torus $H := G \times T$ on M. Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_x = \mathfrak{h}_x \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_x \cap (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \{0\}).$$

Note that since M is assumed to be compact, only finitely many different $\mathfrak{g}_x$, $\mathfrak{h}_x$ and, hence, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$ occur.

2.2 Basic Differential forms

Let $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the vector space of vector fields on M that are tangent to the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}) = C^\infty(M) \cdot \xi$. Differential forms whose contraction with and Lie derivative in the direction of an element of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ vanish are called *basic*. Their subspace is denoted by

$$\Omega(M, \mathcal{F}) := \{\omega \in \Omega(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_X \omega = \iota_X \omega = 0 \ \forall \ X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

Cartan's formula directly yields that $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ is differentially closed, i.e., for every $\omega \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$, we have $d\omega \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$. The cohomology of this subcomplex is

called *basic cohomology* of the foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and denoted by $H(M, \mathcal{F})$. For a more elaborate introduction to basic differential forms, also for more general foliations, the reader is referred to [Rei59].

By definition of the Reeb vector field, it is $0 = \iota_\xi d\alpha$ and $1 = \iota_\xi \alpha$, hence

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \alpha = d\iota_\xi \alpha + \iota_\xi d\alpha = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows that α is invariant under pullback by the Reeb flow

$$\psi_t^* \alpha \equiv \psi_0^* \alpha = \alpha. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, the uniqueness of the Reeb vector field implies that for every $p \in M$ and every $g \in G$, we have

$$dg_p \xi(p) = \xi(gp). \tag{2.9}$$

This implies that $[X_M, \xi] = 0$ and, in particular, for every $f \cdot \xi \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ that

$$[X_M, f \cdot \xi] = X_M(f)\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 2.4. Equation (2.10) means that, given $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, for every $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$, the commutator $[X_M, Y]$ is also an element of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$; hence all fundamental vector fields are so called *foliate vector fields* as defined by Molino (see [Mol88, Chapter 2.2]).

Recall the following definition (cf. [GT16, Definition 3.1] or [GS99, Chapter 2.2] for a formulation in the language of superalgebras).

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra and $A = \bigoplus A_k$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra. A is called a *differential graded $\mathfrak{k}$-algebra* ($\mathfrak{k}$-dga) or $\mathfrak{k}^*$-algebra, if there exist derivations $d : A \to A$ of degree 1, $\iota_X : A \to A$ of degree -1, and $\mathcal{L}_X A \to A$ of degree 0 for every $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that ι_X and $\mathcal{L}_X$ are linear in X and

- $d^2 = 0$,
- $\iota_X^2 = 0$,
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y] = \mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]}$,
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, \iota_Y] = \iota_{[X,Y]}$,
- $\mathcal{L}_X = d\iota_X + \iota_X d$.

Lemma 2.6. *With the usual differential d inherited from $\Omega(M)$ and $\iota_X \coloneqq \iota_{X_M}$, $\mathcal{L}_X \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_{X_M}$, $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-dga.*

Remark 2.7. In [GNT11], Goertsches, Nozawa and Töben consider so-called *transverse actions* of Lie algebras on foliated manifold, especially the action of $\mathfrak{t}/\mathbb{R}\xi$ on a K-contact manifold. In particular, they show that $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a $\mathfrak{t}/\mathbb{R}\xi$-dga, see [GNT11, Proposition 2, (3.1)].

Proof. The relations of Definition 2.5 as well as the degrees of the derivations are inherited from those on $\Omega(M)$. Let $\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. For the proof of the $\mathfrak{g}$-dga structure, it remains to show that $\iota_X\omega$ and $\mathcal{L}_X\omega$ are again elements of $\Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$. Note that we have $\iota_{[Y,X_M]}\omega = \mathcal{L}_{[Y,X_M]}\omega = 0$ by (2.10). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_Y\iota_X\omega &= -\iota_X\iota_Y\omega = 0,\\ \mathcal{L}_Y\iota_X\omega &= \iota_{[Y,X_M]}\omega + \iota_X\mathcal{L}_Y\omega = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and, similarly, we obtain $\iota_Y\mathcal{L}_X\omega = \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_X\omega = 0$. □

As a generalization of the example where a Lie group K acting on a manifold induces the structure of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga on the differential forms, consider the following definition (cf. [GS99, Definition 2.3.1]).

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ denote the Lie algebra of an arbitrary Lie group K. A K^*-algebra is a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga A together with a representation ρ of K as automorphisms of A, that is compatible with the derivations in the sense that for all $h \in K$, $X \in \mathfrak{k}$, it is

- $\frac{d}{dt}\rho(\exp(tX))|_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X$,
- $\rho(h)\iota_X\rho(h^{-1}) = \iota_{Ad_hX}$,
- $\rho(h)\mathcal{L}_X\rho(h^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}_{Ad_hX}$,
- $\rho(h)d\rho(h^{-1}) = d$.

For a different formulation in the language of superalgebras, the reader is referred to [GS99, Section 2.3].

Lemma 2.9. *The torus action of G on M induces an action on $\Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$ by pullback, i.e., $g^*\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$ for every $g \in G$, $\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$, turning $\Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$ into a G^*-algebra.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$, $\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$, $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. By (2.9), the vector field $dg(Y)$, defined by $dg(Y)(p) = dg_{g^{-1}p}(Y_{g^{-1}p})$, lies in $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$, and, since $\Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$ is differentially closed, we have $d\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_Y g^*\omega &= g^*\iota_{dgY}\omega = 0,\\ \mathcal{L}_Y g^*\omega &= d\iota_Y g^*\omega + \iota_Y dg^*\omega = 0 + \iota_Y g^* d\omega = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The compatibility relations are inherited from $\Omega(M)$. □

Note that since we are considering an abelian group, the fundamental vector fields satisfy $dg(X_M(p)) = X_M(g\cdot p)$, for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $g \in G$, $p \in M$. Therefore, we obtain by an easy calculation, that, if $\omega \in \Omega(M,\mathcal{F})$ is G-invariant, then so are $\iota_X\omega$ and $\mathcal{L}_X\omega$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

2.3 Contact Moment Map

Recall that (M, α) is a connected, compact contact manifold with Reeb vector field ξ, on which a torus G acts in such a way that it preserves the contact form α. The *contact moment map* on M is the map $\Psi\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, defined by $\Psi^X := \Psi(\cdot)(X) := \iota_{X_M}\alpha$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.10. Ψ is an abstract moment map according to the definition in [GGK02]: G-invariance (i.e., G-equivariance) stems from the G-invariance of α and for every closed subgroup $H \subset G$, the map $\Psi^H := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \circ \Psi\colon M \to \mathfrak{h}^*$ is zero on the points fixed by the H-action, M^H, thus it is in particular constant on the connected components of M^H. In general, however, this map is not a *non-degenerate* abstract moment map, again as defined in [GGK02], since, in general, the inclusion $\{X_M = 0\} \subset \mathit{Crit}(\Psi^X)$ is not an equality, see (2.11) below.

By Cartan's formula, it is $d\iota_{X_M}\alpha = -\iota_{X_M}d\alpha$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ since $\mathcal{L}_{X_M}\alpha = 0$. Furthermore, $\ker d\alpha_x = \mathbb{R}\xi(x)$. This implies that the critical set of the X-component of Ψ is given by

$$\mathit{Crit}(\Psi^X) = \{x \in M \mid X_M(x) \in \mathbb{R}\xi(x)\} = \{x \in M \mid X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\alpha(\xi) \equiv 1$ and $(\Psi^X)^{-1}(0) = \{x \in M \mid \alpha_x(X_M(x)) = 0\}$, (2.11) implies

$$\mathit{Crit}(\Psi^X) \cap (\Psi^X)^{-1}(0) = \{x \in M \mid X_M(x) = 0\}. \tag{2.12}$$

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that* 0 *is a regular value of* Ψ*. Then* M *has no* G*-fixed points,* $M^G = \varnothing$*.*

Proof. Since all fundamental vector fields vanish on M^G, the claim is a consequence of (2.12). □

In analogy to the symplectic setting (cf., e.g., [CdS01, 23.2.1]), we have the following.

Lemma 2.12. *Denote the annihilator of* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$ *in* $\mathfrak{g}^*$ *by* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x^0$*. The image of* $d\Psi_x$ *is exactly* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x^0$*.*

Proof. The image of the linear map $d\Psi_x$ is the annihilator of the kernel of its transpose. By (2.11), the kernel of $d\Psi_x^t$ is $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$. □

The next proposition and the resulting Proposition 2.21 are crucial to the proof of our main result. They are inspired by the idea of the proof of Theorem G.13 in [GGK02] and a corrected version thereof in [BL10, Proposition 3.12, Appendix B]. However, [BL10, Proposition 3.12] requires a non-degenerate abstract moment map and a G-invariant almost complex structure. Hence, while providing an alternative proof of Kirwan surjectivity on symplectic manifolds, it does not hold in our case.

Proposition 2.13. *Let (M, α, g) be a compact K-contact manifold and ξ its Reeb vector field. Let G be a torus that acts on M, preserving α. Denote with $\Psi\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ the contact moment map and suppose that 0 is a regular value of Ψ. Then there exists a basis $(X_1, ..., X_r)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that for every $s = 1, ..., r$*

(i) $0 \in \mathbb{R}^s$ *is a regular value of* $f_s := (\Psi^{X_1}, ..., \Psi^{X_s})\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^s$,

(ii) $\{x \in M \mid (X_s)_M(x) = 0\} = \varnothing$,

(iii) For all $\mathfrak{g}_x$ *of dimension at most* $r - s$, *it holds*

$$\mathfrak{g}_x \cap \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j = \{0\},$$

(iv) For all $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$ *of dimension at most* $r - s$, *it holds*

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j = \{0\},$$

(v) The critical points C_s *of* f_s *are*

$$C_s = \{x \in M \mid \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap \oplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j \neq \{0\}\} = \{x \in M \mid \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x > r - s\}.$$

In particular, with $C_0 := \varnothing$,

$$C_s = C_{s-1} \,\dot\cup\, \{x \in M \mid \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = r - s + 1\}.$$

Remark 2.14. We remark that a basis with properties *(i)-(iii)* of Proposition 2.13 exists on a contact manifold that is not necessarily K-contact, the proof is similar.

Remark 2.15. Note that, together with (2.12), *(ii)* implies that $Crit(\Psi^{X_s}) \cap (\Psi^{X_s})^{-1}(0)$ is empty.

Proof of Proposition 2.13. Recall that there are only finitely many $\mathfrak{g}_x$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$ and that $\mathfrak{g}$ does not occur as isotropy algebra (by Lemma 2.11). Set $\mathfrak{k} = \cup \mathfrak{g}_x \cup \cup_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \neq \mathfrak{g}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$ and denote its complement by $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{k}$; as complement of finitely many proper subspaces, $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is open and dense. With (2.12), it follows that *(i)-(v)* hold for $s = 1$ with an arbitrary $X_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_0$.

Now, let us suppose we already found $X_1, ..., X_{s_0}$ such that *(i)* - *(v)* hold for $s = 1, ..., s_0$; we will construct X_{s_0+1}. Set $W_{s_0} = \oplus_{j=1}^{s_0} \mathbb{R}X_j$. The following set is open and dense in $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{s_0} := \mathfrak{g} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\{x \in M \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_x < r - s_0\}} (\mathfrak{g}_x \oplus W_{s_0}) \quad \cup \bigcup_{\{x \in M \mid \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x < r - s_0\}} (\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \oplus W_{s_0}) \right)$$

i.e., $\mathfrak{a}_{s_0}$ consists of those X_{s_0+1} s.t. *(iii)* and *(iv)* hold for $s = s_0 + 1$. Any $X_{s_0+1} \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}_{s_0} \neq \varnothing$ will then obviously satisfy *(ii)-(iv)*. To show that the remaining properties are satisfied as well, we need

Lemma 2.16. *Set* $M^{\mathfrak{g}_p} = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{g}_p \subset \mathfrak{g}_x\}$ *and* $Y_s \coloneqq f_s^{-1}(0)$. *For every* $\mathfrak{g}_p$ *of dimension* $r - s > 0$ *, it holds that*

$$M^{\mathfrak{g}_p} \cap Y_s = \varnothing. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. We have for every $x \in M^{\mathfrak{g}_p} \cap Y_s$: $\oplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j \subset \ker \Psi(x)$ by definition of Y_s and $\mathfrak{g}_p \subset \ker \Psi(x)$ because $\Psi(M^{\mathfrak{g}_p})$ lies in the annihilator of $\mathfrak{g}_p$. $\mathfrak{g}_p$ and $\oplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j$ span all of $\mathfrak{g}$ since their intersection is zero by *(iii)*, thus $\Psi(x) = 0$. But Lemma 2.12 implies that $M^{\mathfrak{g}_p}$ cannot contain a regular point of Ψ, hence, $0 \notin \Psi(M^{\mathfrak{g}_p})$ since 0 is a regular value of Ψ. □

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 2.13. We can view f_{s_0+1} as the composition of Ψ and the restriction from $\mathfrak{g}$ to W_{s_0+1}, with $W_{s_0+1} = \oplus_{j=1}^{s_0+1} \mathbb{R}X_j$. It then follows from Lemma 2.12 that $(df_{s_0+1})_x$ is surjective if and only if $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap W_{s_0+1} = \{0\}$. Thus, we have

$$C_{s_0+1} = C_{s_0} \,\dot\cup\, \{x \in M \mid \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap W_{s_0} = \{0\},\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap W_{s_0+1} \neq \{0\}\}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Since we chose $X_{s_0+1} \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}_{s_0}$, we directly obtain the remaining statement of *(v)* for $s = s_0 + 1$, in particular:

$$C_{s_0+1} = C_{s_0} \,\dot\cup\, \underbrace{\{x \in M_\varnothing \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_x = r - s_0\}}_{=:A_1} \dot\cup \underbrace{\{x \in M_\xi \mid \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = r - s\}}_{=:A_2}. \tag{2.15}$$

It remains to show that *(i)* holds for $s = s_0+1$. By assumption, 0 is a regular value of f_{s_0}, thus $C_{s_0} \cap Y_{s_0} = \varnothing$. Lemma 2.16 yields that $A_1 \cap Y_{s_0} = \varnothing$. Now, consider an element $x \in A_2 \cap Y_{s_0}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap W_{s_0} = \{0\}$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap W_{s_0+1} \neq \{0\}$. It follows that $X_{s_0+1} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \oplus W_{s_0}$. For every $X \in W_{s_0}$, $\Psi^X(x) = 0$. Suppose $\Psi^{X_{s_0+1}}(x) = 0$. Then, by definition of Ψ and since $\alpha(\xi) = 1$, it would follow that $X_{s_0+1} \in \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus W_{s_0}$. But this contradicts $X_{s_0+1} \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}_{s_0}$. We showed that $0 \notin \Psi^{X_{s_0+1}}(C_{s_0+1} \cap Y_{s_0})$, meaning that *(i)* is satisfied for $s = s_0 + 1$. Hence, we showed that with any choice of $X_{s_0+1} \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}^{s_0} \neq \varnothing$, *(i)* - *(v)* hold for $s = s_0 + 1$.

□

Recall that we set $f_s \coloneqq (\Psi^{X_1}, ..., \Psi^{X_s})\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^s$ and $Y_s \coloneqq f_s^{-1}(0)$.

Lemma 2.17. *With* (X_s) *as in Proposition 2.13, we have for every* $x \in Y_s$

$$\{0\} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \cap \oplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R}X_j. \tag{2.16}$$

In particular, $\dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \leq r - s$ *and* $\dim \mathfrak{g}_x < r - s$.

Proof. (2.16) follows directly from Proposition 2.13, by combining *(i)*, *(iv)* and *(v)*. It directly implies that $\dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \leq r-s$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_x \leq \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$, Lemma 2.16 then yields $\dim \mathfrak{g}_x < r-s$. □

A main aspect needed for the proof of our main Theorem will be the Morse-Bott property of the functions $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$. As a first step, we now want to compute their critical sets $Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s})$.

Lemma 2.18. *With (X_s) as in Proposition 2.13, $Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s})$ is the union of all the minimal $G\times\{\psi_t\}$-orbits, i.e., of all $G\times\{\psi_t\}$-orbits of dimension $s+1$. They coincide with the minimal $G\times T$-orbits. These are exactly the points of Y_s with generalized isotropy algebra of dimension $r-s$. In particular, $Crit(\Psi^{X_1}) = Crit(\Psi)$ consists of all points with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. We first show that $Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}) = \bigcup_{\substack{x\in(Y_s)_\xi \\ \dim G\cdot x = s+1}} G\cdot x$. Let $x\in Y_s$. By *(i)* of Proposition 2.13, $\operatorname{span}\{d\Psi_x^{X_1},...,d\Psi_x^{X_s}\}$ is s-dimensional and $T_xY_s = \ker(df_s)_x$. Since the annihilator of T_xY_s in T_x^*M is s-dimensional, it follows that T_xY_s lies in the kernel of a 1-form if and only if that 1-form lies in the span of $\{d\Psi_x^{X_1},...,d\Psi_x^{X_s}\}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}) = \left\{x\in Y_s \;\middle|\; (d\Psi^{X_{s+1}})_x \in \operatorname{span}\{d\Psi_x^{X_1},...,d\Psi_x^{X_s}\}\right\}$$

Using additivity of $d\Psi^X$ in X and applying (2.11), this equation becomes

$$Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}) = \{x\in Y_s \mid X_{s+1}\in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \oplus W_s\}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where $W_s = \oplus_{j=1}^s \mathbb{R}X_j$.

By Lemma 2.17, $\dim\mathfrak{g}_x < r-s$ and $\dim\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \leq r-s$ for every $x\in Y_s$. With *(iv)* of Proposition 2.13, the condition in (2.17) can then only be satisfied for $x\in Y_s$ with $\dim\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = r-s$, thus $x\in(Y_s)_\xi$. Since in that case, it is $\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x \oplus W_s$, we automatically obtain that $X_{s+1}\in\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x\oplus W_s$. Hence,

$$Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}) = \{x\in(Y_s)_\xi \mid \dim\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = r-s\} = \bigcup_{\substack{x\in(Y_s)_\xi \\ \dim G\cdot x = s+1}} G\cdot x$$

Let $x\in(Y_s)_\varnothing$. From Lemma 2.17, we have $\dim\mathfrak{g}_x \leq r-s-1$. Hence, $\dim(G\times T)\cdot x \geq \dim(G\times\{\psi_t\})\cdot x > \dim G\cdot x \geq s+1$, so the $G\times T$- and $G\times\{\psi_t\}$-orbits through x are not minimal. Now, let $x\in(Y_s)_\xi$ and suppose that $\dim G\cdot x = s+1$ is minimal. By definition of $(Y_s)_\xi$, $\{\psi_t\}\cdot x\subset G\cdot x$, thus $\dim(G\times\{\psi_t\})\cdot x = s+1$ as well. $G\cdot x$ is closed, hence the same holds for T: $T\cdot x\subset G\cdot x$ and $\dim(G\times T)\cdot x = s+1$ is minimal. □

Lemma 2.19. *There exists a contact metric g on M such that all G-fundamental vector fields are Killing vector fields, i.e., such that g is $G \times T$-invariant.*

Proof. Choose any $G \times T$-invariant and $d\alpha$-compatible metric h on $\ker \alpha$, which has to exist since $G \times T$ is compact. Then $g := h \oplus \alpha \otimes \alpha$ is a $G \times T$-invariant contact metric on M. □

Now, let $N \subset Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s})$ be a connected component of the critical set. From now on, we will work with a metric according to Lemma 2.19, i.e., with an isometric $G \times T$-action.

Lemma 2.20. *N is a totally geodesic closed submanifold of even codimension.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.18, N is a union of minimal dimensional $G \times T$-orbits. The isotropy group of a point in a tubular neighborhood of an orbit $(G \times T) \cdot p$ is a subgroup of $(G \times T)_p$. By minimality, every point of N in that tubular neighborhood then has to have the same isotropy algebra, so $\{x \in N \mid (\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{t})_x = (\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{t})_p\}$ is open in N. Since N is connected, it follows that the connected component of the isotropy remains the same along N, $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{t})_x =: (\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{t})_N$ for all $x \in N$. Since all fundamental vector fields are Killing, we can apply a result of Kobayashi [Kob58, Corollary 1], which directly yields that N is a totally geodesic closed submanifold of even codimension. □

We will denote the g-orthogonal normal bundle of N in Y_s by νN, $T_pY_s = T_pN \oplus_{\perp_g} \nu_pN$. We will now prove the Morse-Bott property of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$.

Proposition 2.21. *The Hessian H of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ along N in normal directions is given by*

$$H_p(v, w) = 2g(w, \nabla_v(JY)) = 2g(w, J\nabla_v Y),$$

where $p \in N$, $Y := (X_{s+1})_{Y_s} - \alpha((X_{s+1})_{Y_s})_p\xi$, and g is a metric as in Lemma 2.19.

Furthermore, the vector $J\nabla_v Y$ is normal and non-zero for every normal vector $v \neq 0$ and H is non-degenerate in normal directions.

In particular, $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ is a Morse-Bott function.

Proof. Let $p \in N$ and $v, w \in \nu_pN$ be arbitrary. In a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, extend v and w to local vector fields V, W around p such that $(\nabla V)(p) = (\nabla W)(p) = 0$. To shorten notation, let $X := (X_{s+1})_{Y_s}$. Note that since $[X, \xi] = 0$ by (2.10), we have $\nabla_X \xi = \nabla_\xi X$. The first computation in [Ruk99, Section 2] is equally applicable in our case since X is a Killing vector field, hence we obtain at p, applying (2.5) and (2.6),

$$H_p(v, w) = (V(W(\alpha(X)))\,(p) = (V(W(g(\xi, X))))\,(p)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (V(g(\nabla_W \xi, X) + g(\xi, \nabla_W X)))\,(p) \\
&= (V(g(-JW, X) - g(\nabla_\xi X, W)))\,(p) \\
&= (-g(\nabla_V JW, X) - g(JW, \nabla_V X) + V(g(JX, W)))\,(p) \\
&= (-g(\nabla_V JW, X) - g(JW, \nabla_V X) + g(\nabla_V JX, W) + g(JX, \nabla_V W))\,(p) \\
&= (-g((\nabla_V J)W, X) - g(J(\nabla_V W), X) + g(W, J\nabla_V X) + g((\nabla_V J)X, W) \\
&\quad + g(J(\nabla_V X), W) + g(JX, \nabla_V W))\,(p) \\
&= (-g(R(\xi, V)W, X) + 2g(W, J\nabla_V X) + g(R(\xi, V)X, W))\,(p) \\
&= (2g(R(\xi, V)X, W) + 2g(W, J\nabla_V X))\,(p). \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.20, (2.6), and the fact that $\xi(x) \in T_x N$ for all $x \in N$, we obtain that $Jz = -\nabla_z \xi \in TN$ for all $z \in TN$, hence

$$
J : T_p N \to T_p N, \quad J : \nu_p N \to \nu_p N.
$$

Set $a := \alpha((X_{s+1})_{Y_s})_p$ and decompose X as $X = a\xi + Y$. It is

$$
(\nabla_V X)(p) = (a\nabla_V \xi + \nabla_V Y)(p) = -aJv + (\nabla_V Y)_p.
$$

Using the tensor properties of the curvature tensor R and that $R(\xi, V)\xi = -V$ (see [Bla76, p. 65]), we can then continue (2.18) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_p(v, w) &= (2ag(R(\xi, V)\xi, W) + 2g(R(\xi, V)Y, W) + 2g(W, J(-aJV + \nabla_V Y)))\,(p) \\
&= -2ag(v, w) + 2g(R(\xi, V)Y, W)(p) + 2ag(v, w) + 2g(W, J\nabla_V Y)(p) \\
&= 2g(R(\xi, V)Y, W)(p) + 2g(W, J\nabla_V Y)(p) \\
&= 2g(W, (\nabla_V J)Y + J\nabla_V Y)(p) \\
&= 2g(W, \nabla_V (JY))(p). \qquad (2.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show that $\nabla_V (JY)(p) = (R(\xi, V)Y + J\nabla_V Y)(p)$ equals $J\nabla_V Y(p)$, is non-zero, and lies in $\nu_p N$. Let η be an arbitrary vector field in a neighborhood of p that is tangent to N at p. By Lemma 2.20, $\nabla_\eta X(p) \in T_p N$. Since X is Killing and $v \in T_p N^{\perp_g}$, we then have $g(\eta, \nabla_V X)_p = -g(\nabla_\eta X, V)_p = 0$. Thus, $\nabla_V X(p) \in \nu_p N$ and, hence, $J\nabla_V X(p) \in \nu_p N$. With (2.6), (2.1) and $\alpha(V)_p = g(\xi, V)_p = 0$, we obtain

$$
g(\eta, J\nabla_V Y)_p = g(\eta, J\nabla_V X)_p - g(\eta, J\nabla_V (a\xi))_p = -g(\eta, aV)_p = 0,
$$

hence $(J\nabla_V Y)(p) \in (T_p N)^{\perp_g} = \nu_p N$. Analogously, we obtain $(\nabla_V Y)(p) \in \nu_p N$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0$. Since N is critical, we obtain on N

$$
0 = -d\iota_X \alpha = \iota_X d\alpha = a\iota_\xi d\alpha + \iota_Y d\alpha = \iota_Y d\alpha.
$$

But $Y|_N \in \Gamma(\ker\alpha)$ since $\alpha(X)|_N \equiv a$, and $d\alpha$ is non-degenerate on $\ker\alpha$; therefore, $Y = 0$ on N. Hence, $\nabla_V(JY)(p) = (R(\xi, V)Y + J\nabla_V Y)(p) = (J\nabla_V Y)(p)$.

We now follow the line of argumentation of Rukimbira in [Ruk95, Proof of Lemma 1] to show that $\nabla_v Y$ does not vanish on N. Note that Y is a Killing vector field since X and ξ are. Let γ be the geodesic through $\gamma(0) = p$ with tangent vector $\dot\gamma(0) = v$. Suppose $(\nabla_v Y)(p) = 0$. Then the Jacobi field $Y \circ \gamma$ satisfies $Y \circ \gamma(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\nabla}{dt}(Y \circ \gamma)(0) = 0$, thus Y vanishes along all of γ. But this means that along γ, $X = a\xi$; by (2.11), γ hence consists of critical points of $\Psi^X|_{Y_s}$. Thus, γ lies in N and v has to be tangent to N. This, however, contradicts $v \in \nu_p N$. We conclude that $\nabla_V Y(p)$ is non-zero. Since $\nabla_V Y(p)$ is normal and, hence, lies in $\ker\alpha$, it follows that $J(\nabla_V Y)(p)$ is non-zero. Then we have for every non-zero normal vector $v \in \nu_p N$:

$$H_p(v, J(\nabla_v Y)) = 2g(J(\nabla_v Y), J(\nabla_v Y)) = 2g(\nabla_v Y, \nabla_v Y) \neq 0. \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.22. J is skew-symmetric w.r.t. H: For $v, w \in \nu_p N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}H_p(w, Jv) &= g(w, J\nabla_{Jv}Y) = -g(Jw, \nabla_{Jv}X + aJ^2v) = g(\nabla_{Jw}X, Jv) + ag(Jw, v)\\ &= g(\nabla_{Jw}Y, Jv) + g(-aJ^2w, Jv) - ag(w, Jv)\\ &= -g(J\nabla_{Jw}Y, v) + ag(w, Jv) - ag(w, Jv) = -\tfrac{1}{2}H_p(Jw, v).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, J preserves the positive and negative normal bundle, $J : \nu^{\pm}N \to \nu^{\pm}N$.

3 Equivariant basic cohomology

3.1 Equivariant Cohomology of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga

We will briefly review the concept of equivariant cohomology. For a more elaborate introduction, we refer to [GS99], presenting the material from Cartan (cf. [Car50]) in a modern reference; see also [GNT11, Section 4] or [GT16, Section 3.2].

The *Cartan complex* of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga A is defined as

$$C_{\mathfrak{k}}(A) := (S(\mathfrak{k}^*) \otimes A)^{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where $S(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ denotes the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and the superscript denotes the subspace of $\mathfrak{k}$-invariant elements, i.e.,those $\omega \in S(\mathfrak{k}^*) \otimes A$ for which $L_X\omega = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{k}$. When regarding an element $\omega \in C_{\mathfrak{k}}(A)$ as a $\mathfrak{k}$-equivariant polynomial map $\mathfrak{k} \to A$, i.e., $\omega([X, Y]) = L_X(\omega(Y))$ for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, the differential $d_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of $C_{\mathfrak{k}}(A)$ is given by

$$(d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega)(X) := d(\omega(X)) - \iota_X(\omega(X)).$$

If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ with dual basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$, the differential can be written as

$$d_{\mathfrak{k}}(\omega) = d(\omega) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \iota_{X_i}(\omega) u_i.$$

The *equivariant cohomology* of A (in the Cartan model) is then defined by

$$H_{\mathfrak{k}}^*(A) := H^*(C_{\mathfrak{k}}(A), d_{\mathfrak{k}}).$$

We remark that there are different conventions in the literature concerning the sign in the definition of the differential.

Example 3.1. If a compact Lie group K acts on a manifold N, this action induces a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga structure on the algebra of differential forms $\Omega(N)$. This enables us to apply the general construction of the equivariant cohomology of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga and we obtain the equivariant cohomology of the K-action as

$$H_K^*(N) = H_{\mathfrak{k}}^*(\Omega(N)).$$

For the following definition compare [GS99, Definition 2.3.4].

Definition 3.2. A $\mathfrak{k}$-dga A is called *free*, if, given a basis X_i of $\mathfrak{k}$, there are $\theta_i \in A_1$ (called *connection elements*) such that $\iota_{X_j}(\theta_i) = \delta_{ij}$. If, in addition, the θ_i can be chosen such that their span in A_1 is $\mathfrak{k}$-invariant, then A is said to be *of type (C)*.

Lemma 3.3. *A free $\mathfrak{k}$-dga A is automatically of type (C) if the action of $\mathfrak{k}$ on A is induced by an action of a compact Lie group.*

Proof. [GS99, Section 2.3.4]. □

Definition 3.4. Let A be a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga. The differentially closed set $A_{\mathrm{bas}} := \{\omega \in A \mid \iota_X \omega = 0 = L_X \omega \text{ for every } X \in \mathfrak{k}\}$ is called the *basic subcomplex* of A.

Proposition 3.5. *If A is a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga of type (C), then $H_{\mathfrak{k}}^*(A) = H^*(A_{\mathrm{bas}\,\mathfrak{k}})$.*

Proof. [GS99, Section 5.1]. □

A proof of the following proposition can be found in [GS99, Section 4.6] or [GT16, Proposition 3.9].

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be an $(\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{k})$-dga with $A_k = 0$ for $k < 0$, which is of type (C) as an $\mathfrak{h}$-dga. If either $A^{\mathfrak{k}} = A$ or $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of the compact connected Lie group K and the $\mathfrak{k}$-dga structure on A stems from a K^*-algebra structure, then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{k}}^*(A) = H_{\mathfrak{k}}^*(A_{\mathrm{bas}\,\mathfrak{h}})$$

as $S(\mathfrak{k}^*)$-algebras. The isomorphism is induced by the natural inclusion of complexes

$$\left((S(\mathfrak{k}^*) \otimes A_{\mathrm{bas}\,\mathfrak{h}})^{\mathfrak{k}}, d_{\mathfrak{k}}\right) \hookrightarrow \left((S(\mathfrak{k}^*) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes A)^{\mathfrak{k}\times\mathfrak{h}}, d_{\mathfrak{k}\times\mathfrak{h}}\right).$$

3.2 Equivariant basic Cohomology

Recall that we consider a connected, compact K-contact manifold (M, α, g) with Reeb vector field ξ, on which a torus G acts in such a way that it preserves the contact form α, i.e., $g^*\alpha = \alpha$ for every $g \in G$. We denoted the Reeb flow by $\{\psi_t\}$. We can not only consider the G-action on M, obtaining the equivariant cohomology of the G-action as $H^*_G(M) = H^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Omega(M))$, but we can also consider the $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-action on M which induces a $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}\xi$-dga structure on $\Omega(M)$. This yields $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(M)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.9, $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a G^*-algebra (and especially a $\mathfrak{g}$-dga). Set $C_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Omega(M, \mathcal{F}))$. We obtain the *equivariant basic cohomology* of the G-action on (M, α) as

$$H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H(C_G(M, \mathcal{F}), d_{\mathfrak{g}}),$$

Analogously, we can define equivariant basic cohomology for any open or closed $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-invariant submanifold of M or for any foliated manifold $(N, \mathcal{E})$, acted on by a torus H s.t. $\Omega(N, \mathcal{E})$ is an H^*-algebra.

Since the G-invariant contact form serves as connection element, Proposition 3.6 directly gives

Proposition 3.7. *$H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(M)$ as $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$-algebras.*

Example 3.8. Suppose that ξ induces a free S^1-action. In this case, $\{\psi_t\} = S^1 = T$ and $\pi\colon M \to M/\{\psi_t\} =: B$ is a G-equivariant principal S^1-bundle. The pullback gives an isomorphism $\pi^* : \Omega(B) \to \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ and we have $H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_G(B)$ (compare [GT16, Example 3.14]).

Lemma 3.9. *Assume G acts on a $G \times T$-invariant submanifold $U \subset M$ with only one $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_U$; then $H^*_G(U, \mathcal{F}) = S(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*_U) \otimes H^*_{\mathfrak{k}}(U, \mathcal{F}) = S(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*_U) \otimes H^*(\Omega(U, \mathcal{F})_{\mathrm{bas}\mathfrak{k}})$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ denotes a complement of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_U$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_U$ acts trivially on $\Omega(U, \mathcal{F})$, the Cartan complex can be written as $C_G(U, \mathcal{F}) = S(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*_U) \otimes S(\mathfrak{k}^*) \otimes \Omega(U, \mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $d_G = 1 \otimes d_{\mathfrak{k}}$, hence $H^*_G(U, \mathcal{F}) = S(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*_U) \otimes H^*_{\mathfrak{k}}(U, \mathcal{F})$. But $\mathfrak{k}$ acts freely and in transversal direction on U, so $\Omega(U, \mathcal{F})$ is a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga of type (C) and $H^*_{\mathfrak{k}}(U, \mathcal{F}) = H^*(\Omega(U, \mathcal{F})_{\mathrm{bas}\mathfrak{k}})$ by Proposition 3.5. □

Proposition 3.10 (Mayer-Vietoris sequence)**.** *Let $A \subset M$ be a $G \times T$-invariant submanifold of M and let $U, V \subset A$ be open $G \times T$-invariant subsets such that $U \cup V = A$. Denote the inclusions by $i_U : U \to A$, $i_V : V \to A$, $j_U : U \cap V \to U$, $j_V : U \cap V \to V$. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\ldots \to H^*_G(A, \mathcal{F}) \overset{i^*_U \oplus i^*_V}{\to} H^*_G(U, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H^*_G(V, \mathcal{F}) \overset{j^*_U - j^*_V}{\to} H^*_G(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}) \to H^{*+1}_G(A, \mathcal{F}) \to \ldots$$

Proof. Since U and V are $G \times T$-invariant and $G \times T$ is compact, we can find a $G \times T$-invariant partition of unity subordinate to the open cover U, V of A ([GGK02, Corollary B.33]). Then it can be seen as done in [BT13, Proposition 2.3] for ordinary differential forms that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to C^*_G(A, \mathcal{F}) \overset{i^*_U \oplus i^*_V}{\to} C^*_G(U, \mathcal{F}) \oplus C^*_G(V, \mathcal{F}) \overset{j^*_U - j^*_V}{\to} C^*_G(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}) \to 0.$$

Thus, we obtain a long exact sequence in equivariant basic cohomology. □

To prove our main result, we also need relative and compactly supported equivariant basic cohomology. We consider a foliated manifold $(N, \mathcal{E})$ that is endowed with a $G \times T$-action such that ξ is nowhere vanishing and induces $\mathcal{E}$. This means in particular that for any closed G-invariant, $\mathcal{E}$-saturated submanifold of N, we can find arbitrarily small G-invariant, $\mathcal{E}$-saturated tubular neighborhoods. Note that $G \times T$-invariant submanifolds of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and their (positive/negative) normal bundles with lifted $G \times T$-action satisfy these requirements.

Definition 3.11. We denote the subcomplex of compactly supported equivariant basic differential forms by $C_{H,c}(N, \mathcal{E})$, and its cohomology by $H_{H,c}(N, \mathcal{E}) = H(C_{H,c}(N, \mathcal{E}), d_{\mathfrak{h}})$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $A, B \subset N$ be two G-invariant, $\mathcal{E}$-saturated submanifolds that are $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-equivariantly homotopy equivalent. Then we have an isomorphism $H_G(A, \mathcal{E}) = H_G(B, \mathcal{E})$. If, in addition, the homotopy is proper, the same holds for cohomology with compact support.*

Proof. The proposition is proven analogously to the corresponding statement in ordinary (equivariant) cohomology by constructing a chain homotopy, see, e.g., [BT13, §4; Cor. 4.1.2] and also [GS99, Section 2.3.3 and Proposition 2.4.1] and the proof of Proposition 3.16 below. □

Definition 3.13. Let $A \subset N$ be any G-invariant, $\mathcal{E}$-saturated submanifold. We then consider the complex $C_G(N, A, \mathcal{E}) := C_G(N, \mathcal{E}) \oplus C_G(A, \mathcal{E})$ with the grading $C^k_G(N, A, \mathcal{E}) := C^k_G(N, \mathcal{E}) \oplus C^{k-1}_G(A, \mathcal{E})$ and differential $D(\alpha, \beta) := (d_G\alpha, \alpha|_A - d_G\beta)$. The cohomology of this complex is the *relative equivariant basic cohomology* of (N, A) and denoted by $H^*_G(N, A, \mathcal{E})$.

This definition is based on the definition of ordinary relative de Rham cohomology in [BT13, pp. 78-79] and an equivariant version thereof in [PV07, Section 3.1]. We remark that [PV07, Section 3.1] works analogously for closed submanifolds. Note that a $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-equivariant map of pairs $f : (N, A) \to (\tilde{N}, \tilde{A})$, $f(A) \subset \tilde{A}$, induces a map $f^* : C_G(\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \to C_G(N, A, \mathcal{E})$, $f^*(\alpha, \beta) = (f^*\alpha, f|^*_A\beta)$ that descends to cohomology.
Analogously to the proofs presented in [BT13, PV07], we obtain the following

Proposition 3.14. *There is a natural long exact sequence in equivariant basic cohomology*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} H^k_G(N, A, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} H^k_G(N, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} H^k_G(A, \mathcal{E}) \to \cdots, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha^*(\theta) = (0, \theta)$, $\beta^*(\omega, \theta) = \omega$, *and* $\iota_A : A \to N$ *denotes the inclusion.*

The considerations of [PV07, Section 3.2] carry over to the basic setting so that we also obtain an excision statement for open submanifolds.

Proposition 3.15. *Let* $A \subset N$ *be a* G*-invariant,* $\mathcal{E}$*-saturated* open *submanifold and* U *a* G*-invariant,* $\mathcal{E}$*-saturated open neighborhood of* $N \setminus A$*. Then the restriction* $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha|_U, \beta|_{U\setminus(N\setminus A)})$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$H^k_H(N, A, \mathcal{E}) \to H^k_H(U, U \setminus (N \setminus A), \mathcal{E}).$$

Proposition 3.16. *Let* $A \subset N$ *be any* G*-invariant,* $\mathcal{E}$*-saturated submanifold. If the equivariant maps* $f : (N, A) \to (\tilde{N}, \tilde{A})$ *and* $g : (\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}) \to (N, A)$ *are* $G \times \{\psi_t\}$*-homotopy inverses, then they induce isomorphisms* f^* *and* g^* *in relative equivariant basic cohomology.*

Proof. Consider an equivariant homotopy $F : N \times I \to N$, $F(\cdot, 0) = g \circ f$, $F(\cdot, 1) = \mathrm{id}_N$ such that $F(A \times I) \subset A$. Then $F|_{A\times I}$ is a homotopy between $g \circ f|_A$ and id_A. With $Q : C^k_G(N \times I, \mathcal{E}) \to C^{k-1}_G(N, \mathcal{E})$, $\alpha \mapsto \int_0^1 \iota_{\partial_t} \alpha \, dt$, we then obtain (cf. [BT13, § 4] and [GS99, Section 2.3.3])

$$d_G Q F^* + Q F^* d_G = \mathrm{id}^*_N - f^* g^*. \tag{3.2}$$

With (3.2), we can then show that $\mathrm{id}^*_N = f^* g^*$ in relative equivariant basic cohomology. Analogously, we obtain $\mathrm{id}^*_{\tilde{N}} = g^* f^*$ in relative equivariant basic cohomology, which yields that f^* and g^* are isomorphisms in relative equivariant basic cohomology. □

Proposition 3.17. *Let* $A \subset \tilde{A}$ *be a* $G \times \{\psi_t\}$*-equivariant deformation retract, where* $A, \tilde{A} \subset N$ *are* G*-invariant,* $\mathcal{E}$*-saturated submanifolds. Then* $H^*_G(N, A, \mathcal{E})$ *and* $H^*_G(N, \tilde{A}, \mathcal{E})$ *are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $h : \tilde{A} \to A$ be the equivariant map s.t. $h \circ \iota_A = \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\iota_A \circ h$ is $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{\tilde{A}}$. Choose a homotopy $F : \tilde{A} \times I \to \tilde{A}$ such that $F(A \times I) \subset A$. Similar to (3.2), we obtain

$$d_G Q F^* + Q F^* d_G = \mathrm{id}^*_{\tilde{A}} - h^* \iota_A^* : C_G(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{E}) \to C_G(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{E}), \tag{3.3}$$

where $Q : C^k_G(\tilde{A} \times I, \mathcal{E}) \to C^{k-1}_G(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{E})$, $\alpha \mapsto \int_0^1 \iota_{\partial_t} \alpha \, dt$.
Consider $\varphi : C_G(N, A, \mathcal{E}) \to C_G(N, \tilde{A}, \mathcal{E})$, $(\omega, \theta) \mapsto (\omega, h^*\theta + Q F^* \iota^*_{\tilde{A}} \omega)$ and ψ :

$C_G(N,\tilde{A},\mathcal{E}) \to C_G(N,A,\mathcal{E})$, $(\sigma,\rho) \mapsto (\sigma, \iota_A^*\rho - \iota_A^* Q F^* \iota_{\tilde{A}}^* \sigma)$. With (3.3), we show that φ and ψ commute with the relative differential and, hence, induce maps in relative equivariant basic cohomology. Showing that these induced maps are inverses then yields the claim. □

Note that the proofs (cf. also [PV07]) of the previous propositions 3.14-3.17 carry over to manifolds N with invariant boundary and $A \subset N$ invariant open submanifold with invariant boundary, as long as the closure of $N \setminus A$ admits arbitrarily small invariant tubular neighborhoods. Propositions 3.14, 3.16 and 3.17 also hold for manifolds N with invariant boundary and $A \subset N$ invariant closed submanifold that is either $A \subset \mathrm{int}N$ or $A = \partial N$.
For compact manifolds N and closed $G\times T$-invariant submanifolds $A \subset N$ (without boundary or with boundary as above), we have an alternative definition of relative cohomology (cf. [GS99, Chapter 11.1]).

Definition 3.18. Let $(N,\mathcal{E})$ be a compact foliated manifold with $G\times T$-action such that ξ is nowhere vanishing and induces $\mathcal{E}$. Let $A \subset N$ be a closed $G\times T$-invariant submanifold. Assume that either N is a manifold without boundary or that N is a manifold with boundary such that ∂N is $G\times T$-invariant, admits arbitrarily small invariant tubular neighborhoods and $A \subset \mathrm{int}N$ or $A = \partial N$. We define the complex $\widetilde{C}_G(N,A,\mathcal{E})$ to be the kernel of the pullback $C_G(N,\mathcal{E}) \to C_G(A,\mathcal{E})$. Since the pullback commutes with the differential, $\widetilde{C}_G(N,A,\mathcal{E})$ is a differential subcomplex of $C_G(N,\mathcal{E})$. We denote its cohomology by $\widetilde{H}_G(N,A,\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 3.19. *The map $\varphi : \widetilde{C}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) \to C_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E})$, $\omega \mapsto (\omega,0)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.*

Proof. The map $\varphi : \widetilde{C}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) \to C_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E})$, $\omega \mapsto (\omega,0)$ satisfies $D\circ\varphi = \varphi\circ d_G$ and, hence, induces a map in cohomology.
Let $\pi : U \to A$ denote a $G\times T$-invariant tubular neighborhood and $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$ an invariant function with $\mathrm{supp}\, f \subset U$ and $f|_{\widetilde{U}} \equiv 1$ on a smaller neighborhood $\widetilde{U}$ of A. Then $\omega := f\pi^*\theta$ extends θ to N. The inclusion $\iota^* : \widetilde{C}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) \to C_G^k(N,\mathcal{E})$ is obviously injective. Hence, with ι_A^* denoting the pullback to A, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{C}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} C_G^k(N,\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} C_G^k(A,\mathcal{E}) \to 0,$$

that induces a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\cdots \to \widetilde{H}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) \to H_G^k(N,\mathcal{E}) \to H_G^k(A,\mathcal{E}) \to \cdots . \tag{3.4}$$

Consider the following diagram, where the two vertical sequences are sections of the two long exact sequences 3.1 and 3.4 and, hence, exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H_G^{k-1}(N,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} & H_G^{k-1}(A,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \widetilde{H}_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & H_G^k(N,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} & H_G^k(A,\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\
H_G^{k-1}(N,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} & H_G^{k-1}(A,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & H_G^k(N,A,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & H_G^k(N,\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_A^*} & H_G^k(A,\mathcal{E})
\end{array}$$

We want to apply the 5-Lemma. The leftmost square and the two squares on the right obviously commute. We show the commutativity of the remaining square; since $\pm\,\mathrm{id}$ is an isomorphism, the 5-lemma then yields that φ is an isomorphism, as well. First, we determine the boundary operator ∂. Let θ represent a class in $H_G^{k-1}(A,\mathcal{E})$ and let f be a cutoff function as above. Then it can easily be seen that $\partial\theta = df\wedge\pi^*\theta$. Further, we have $D(f\pi^*\theta,0) = (df\wedge\pi^*\theta,(f\pi^*\theta)|_A) = (df\wedge\pi^*\theta,\theta)$, so $(df\wedge\pi^*\theta,0)$ and $(0,-\theta)$ represent the same relative cohomology class. It follows that $\alpha^*(-\,\mathrm{id}(\theta)) = (0,-\theta) = (df\wedge\pi^*\theta,0) = \varphi\circ\partial(\theta)$, the diagram commutes. □

The proof of [GS99, Theorem 11.1.1] is equally applicable in the basic and boundary setting (see also [CF]) so that we obtain

Proposition 3.20. *Let $(N,A,\mathcal{E})$ be as in Definition 3.18. The natural inclusion map $C_{G,c}(N\setminus A,\mathcal{E})\to\widetilde{C}_G(N,A,\mathcal{E})$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.*

Now, consider a $G\times T$-invariant closed submanifold $A\subset M$ of codimension d. Let $p:\nu A\to A$ denote the projection of the normal bundle. A *basic equivariant Thom form* is a closed form $\tau\in C_{G,c}^d(\nu A,\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $p_*\tau = 1$, with $p_*: C_{G,c}^k(\nu A,\mathcal{F})\to C_G^{k-d}(A,\mathcal{F})$ denoting fibrewise integration. A basic equivariant Thom form can be constructed analogously to [GS99, Chapter 10] with an invariant basic connection form, see also [CF]. Note that a G-invariant basic connection form θ has to exist: By [Mol88, Proposition 2.8], there always exists a connection that is *adapted* to the lifted foliation, i.e., such that the tangent spaces to the leaves are horizontal. Since $G\times T$ is compact, we can obtain a $G\times T$-invariant adapted connection form by averaging over the group. But this connection form then has to be basic, or, as Molino calls it, *projectable*.
Analogously, we can restrict ourselves to $\nu^\pm A$ instead.

In Section 2.3, we scrutinized the functions $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ and the connected components N of their critical sets. Recall that every N is a $G\times T$-invariant closed submanifold of even codimension (cf. Lemma 2.20) and non-degenerate (cf. Proposition 2.21). We will now consider the special case that $A=N$. Denote the Morse index of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ on N by λ, the inclusion as the zero section $N\to\nu^\pm N$ by $\iota^\pm$ and the projection by $p^\pm:\nu^\pm N\to N$. For the following definition, compare [GNT15, Section A.1].

Definition 3.21. The equivariant basic Euler form $e_G(\nu^{(\pm)}N, \mathcal{F}) \in C^*_G(N, \mathcal{F})$ of $(\nu^{(\pm)}N, \mathcal{F}) \to (N, \mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$e_G(\nu^{(\pm)}N, \mathcal{F})(X) = \mathrm{Pf}(F^\theta_G(X)) = \mathrm{Pf}(F^\theta - \iota_X\theta),$$

where θ denotes a G-invariant basic connection form on the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of $(\nu^{(\pm)}N, \mathcal{F})$, $F^\theta_G = d_G\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta]$ its equivariant curvature form and Pf the Pfaffian.

For any $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-invariant connection form, we can analogously define the equivariant Euler form $e_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(\nu^{(\pm)}N) \in C_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(N)$ or, for a $G \times T$-invariant connection form, the equivariant Euler form $e_{G\times T}(\nu^{(\pm)}N) \in C_{G\times T}(N)$.

Note that, while the Euler *form* depends of the choice of connection form, its class (for which we use the same notation) does not. We can think of $e_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(\nu^{(\pm)}N)$ as the restriction of the polynomial map $e_{G\times T}(\nu^{(\pm)}N)$ to $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}\xi$.

Proposition 3.22. *Under the $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$-algebra isomorphism $H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(M)$ of Proposition 3.7, $e_G(\nu^{(\pm)}N, \mathcal{F}) = e_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathbb{R}\xi}(\nu^{(\pm)}N)$.*

Proof. This becomes evident when regarding the inclusion of complexes that induces the isomorphism. □

Analogously to [GS99, Theorem 10.6.1], we obtain

Theorem 3.23 (Basic equivariant Thom isomorphism)**.** *Integration over the fiber defines an isomorphism*

$$p^-_* : H^{*+\lambda}_{G,c}(\nu^-N, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(N, \mathcal{F})$$

whose inverse is given by the composition

$$\iota^-_* : H^*_G(N, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{(p^-)^*} H^*_G(\nu^-N, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\wedge\tau} H^{*+\lambda}_{G,c}(\nu^-N, \mathcal{F}).$$

As in [GS99, Section 10.5], it can be shown that $(\iota^-)^*\tau = e_G(\nu^-N, \mathcal{F})$ and, hence, that $(\iota^-)^*\iota^-_* = \wedge e_G(\nu^-N, \mathcal{F})$ is the multiplication with the basic equivariant Euler class of ν^-N.

The analogous statements hold for the positive and the whole normal bundle, with λ replaced by $\mathrm{rank}(\nu N) - \lambda$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}(\nu N)$*, respectively.*

4 Basic Kirwan Surjectivity

We will now proceed to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, α) be a compact K-contact manifold, ξ its Reeb vector field and $\mathcal{F}$ the foliation that is induced by ξ. Let G be a torus that acts on M, preserving α. Denote with $\Psi\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ the contact moment map and suppose that 0 is a regular value of Ψ. Then the inclusion $\Psi^{-1}(0) \subset M$ induces an epimorphism in equivariant basic cohomology*

$$H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. Choose a metric g adapted to α according to Lemma 2.19. Let $(X_1, ..., X_r)$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ according to Proposition 2.13. Let again $f_s := (\Psi^{X_1}, ..., \Psi^{X_s})\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^s$, $Y_0 := M$ and $Y_s := f_s^{-1}(0)$ for $s = 1, ..., r$. By Proposition 2.21, the functions $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ are Morse-Bott functions. We will show that the restrictions to the subsets $Y_{s+1} \subset Y_s$ induce the following sequence of epimorphisms:

$$H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H^*_G(Y_0, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(Y_1, \mathcal{F}) \to ... \to H^*_G(Y_r, \mathcal{F}) = H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}).$$

Set $Y_s^c := \left(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}\right)^{-1}((-\infty, c])$. Let κ be a critical value of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$. We denote with $B_1^\kappa, ..., B_{j_\kappa}^\kappa$ the connected components of the critical set at level κ and with λ_i^κ the indices of the non-degenerate critical submanifolds B_i^κ with respect to $\mathrm{Hess}(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s})$ and with $\nu^\pm B_i^\kappa$ their positive resp. negative normal bundles.

Recall the following definition (cf. [Was69, pp. 146f]).

Definition 4.2. Let V, W be Riemannian $G \times T$-vector bundles over B_i^κ. We denote their disk bundles by D, their open unit ball bundles by $\mathring{D}$ and their sphere bundles by S. The bundle $D^V \oplus D^W = \{(v, w) \in V \oplus W \mid ||v|| \leq 1, ||w|| \leq 1\}$ is called a *handle bundle of type* (V, W) with index equal to the rank of W. Let $N \subset \tilde{N}$ be $G \times T$-manifolds with boundary, and $H \subset \tilde{N}$ a closed subset. We write $\tilde{N} = N \cup_{D^V \oplus S^W} H$ and say that $\tilde{N}$ arises from N by attaching a handle bundle of type (V, W) if

(i) $\tilde{F} : D^V \oplus D^W \to H \subset \tilde{N}$ is a homeomorphism onto H,

(ii) $\tilde{N} = N \cup H$,

(iii) $\tilde{F}|_{D^V \oplus S^W}$ is an equivariant diffeomorphism onto $H \cap \partial N$,

(iv) $\tilde{F}|_{D^V \oplus \mathring{D}^W}$ is an equivariant diffeomorphism onto $\tilde{N} \setminus N$.

Let ϵ be small enough such that the interval $[\kappa - \epsilon, \kappa + \epsilon]$ contains no critical values of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ besides κ. Since $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ is a $G \times T$-invariant Morse-Bott function, $Y_s^{\kappa+\epsilon}$ is $(G \times T)$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to $Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon}$ with j_κ handle bundles of type $(\nu^+ B_i^\kappa, \nu^- B_i^\kappa)$ disjointly attached, cf. [Was69, Theorem 4.6].

$$Y_s^{\kappa+\epsilon} \simeq Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon} \cup_{\bigcup D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}} \bigcup D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here, the $G \times T$-action on $\nu^{\pm} B_i^\kappa$ is the natural lift of the $G \times T$-action on M. We denote the foliation induced by ξ on the normal bundle also by $\mathcal{F}$. Let U_i^κ denote an invariant tubular neighborhood of $D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}$. By (4.1) and Propositions 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.20, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa+\epsilon}, Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) &= H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon} \cup_{\cup D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}} D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= H_G^*(\cup\, U_i^\kappa, \cup\, U_i^\kappa \setminus D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus \mathring{D}^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= H_G^*(\cup\, D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \cup\, D^{\nu^+ B_i^\kappa} \oplus S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= H_G^*(\cup\, D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \cup\, S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= \bigoplus H_G^*(D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= \bigoplus \widetilde{H}_G^*(D^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, S^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F}) \\
&= \bigoplus H_{G,c}^*(\mathring{D}^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa}, \mathcal{F})
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the $G \times T$-equivariant diffeomorphism $\rho : \mathring{D}^{\nu^- B_i^\kappa} \to \nu^- B_i^\kappa$, $v \mapsto \frac{1}{1-||v||^2} v$. Since ρ is proper, Proposition 3.12 yields

$$H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa+\epsilon}, Y_s^{\kappa-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus H_{G,c}^*(\nu^- B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}). \tag{4.2}$$

By the Thom isomorphism (Theorem 3.23), we have further

$$H_G^{*-\lambda_i^\kappa}(B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{G,c}^*(\nu^- B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}). \tag{4.3}$$

With $(G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$ we denote the isotropy group of $G \times \{\psi_t\}$ on B_i^κ. Since Ψ and g are $G \times T$-invariant, $(G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$ acts fiberwise on $\nu^- B_i^\kappa$ (and $D^{\lambda_i^\kappa} B_i^\kappa$) by restriction of the isotropy representation. We need the following lemmata.

Lemma 4.3. *$\nu^{(\pm)} B_i^\kappa$ has no non-zero $(G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$-fixed vectors.*

Proof. For $x \in B_i^\kappa$, let γ_v be the unique geodesic with initial values $\gamma_v(0) = x, \dot\gamma_v(0) = v$, $v \in \nu_x^{(\pm)} B_i^\kappa$. Since $G \times \{\psi_t\}$ acts by isometries, $g \cdot \gamma_v$ is again a geodesic and, by uniqueness, $g \cdot \gamma_v = \gamma_{dg(v)}$ for all $g \in (G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$. Assume v to be a $(G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$-fixed vector. Then $g \cdot \gamma_v = \gamma_{dg(v)} = \gamma_v$ for all $g \in (G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$, hence the isotropy group of all points along γ_v contains $(G \times \{\psi_t\})_{B_i^\kappa}$. By Lemma 2.18, however, the critical set is the union of all minimal $G \times \{\psi_t\}$-orbits, hence γ_v lies completely in the connected component B_i^κ. Thus $v = \dot\gamma_v(0) \in T_x B_i^\kappa \perp \nu_x B_i^\kappa$, therefore $v = 0$. □

It follows that $\nu^{\pm} B_i^\kappa$ has no non-zero $(G \times T)_{B_i^\kappa}$-fixed vectors, therefore, Lemma 4.3 yields that multiplication with the Euler classes of the negative, positive or whole normal bundle in $H_{G\times T}^*(B_i^\kappa)$ is injective (see [Duf83, Proposition 5] or [AB83, § 13]). We now show that this also holds for their restriction to $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}\xi$.

Lemma 4.4. *Multiplication in $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi}(B_i^\kappa)$ with the equivariant Euler class of the negative, positive or whole normal bundle of B_i^κ is injective.*

Proof. We present the proof for the case of the negative normal bundle, the other cases work analogously. Denote the Euler class of $\nu^- B_i^\kappa$ by E_i^κ. Let θ denote a $G\times T$-invariant connection 1-form in the bundle P of oriented orthonormal frames of the negative normal bundle over B_i^κ. Then by definition, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi$, $E_i^\kappa(X)$ is given by $Pf(F^\theta - \iota_X\theta)$, where we denote the Pfaffian $\in S(\mathfrak{so}(\lambda_i^\kappa)^*)^{SO(\lambda_i^\kappa)}$ by Pf. The classification of irreducible torus representations yields that $\nu^- B_i^\kappa$ splits into 2-dimensional subbundles s.t., when written in a basis adapted to the splitting, the $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa}$-action is given by the standard action of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha_1(X) & & & \\ \alpha_1(X) & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & -\alpha_{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}(X) \\ & & & \alpha_{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}(X) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa} \tag{4.4}$$

with the weights $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}$ of the $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa}$-representation. For every $X \in (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa}$, matrix (4.4) is an element of $\mathfrak{so}(\lambda_i^\kappa)$. Thus, X_P and the SO-fundamental vectorfield generated by (4.4) coincide. By the definition of a connection form, $\theta(Y_P) = Y$ for every $Y \in \mathfrak{so}$. Therefore, it holds for every $X \in (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa}$ that

$$\iota_X\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha_1(X) & & & \\ \alpha_1(X) & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & -\alpha_{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}(X) \\ & & & \alpha_{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}(X) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa} \subset (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{t})_{B_i^\kappa}$, we obtain for every $X \in (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$

$$Pf(\iota_X\theta) = \frac{1}{(-2\pi)^{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^\kappa/2} \alpha_j(X).$$

For E_i^κ not to be a zero divisor, it suffices to show that its term of highest polynomial degree is not a zero divisor, i.e., it suffices to show that $\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}\alpha_j(X) \neq 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi$. It is $\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}\alpha_j \not\equiv 0$ on $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$: Suppose $\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^\kappa/2}\alpha_j|_{(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}} \equiv 0$. Then there existed an α_{i_0} that vanished on all of $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$. By (4.4), this meant that a two-dimensional subspace of $\nu^- B_i^\kappa$ vanished under $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$. But this contradicts Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be a complement of $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$ in $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi$. Then $\mathfrak{k}$ acts locally freely on B_i^κ and, by Proposition 3.5, $H_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi}(B_i^\kappa) = S((\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)^*_{B_i^\kappa}) \otimes H_{\mathfrak{k}}(B_i^\kappa) = S((\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)^*_{B_i^\kappa}) \otimes H(\Omega(B_i^\kappa)_{\mathrm{bas}\,\mathfrak{k}})$. Hence, if $\sigma \in H_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi}(B_i^\kappa)$ satisfies $\sigma(X) = 0$ for every $X \in (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi)_{B_i^\kappa}$, then $\sigma = 0$. It follows that E_i^κ is not a zero divisor. □

Recalling Propositions 3.7 and 3.22, we also set $E_i^\kappa = e_G(\nu^- B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F})$ by abuse of notation. We obtain an injective map

$$\oplus(\cdot E_i^\kappa)\colon \bigoplus_i H_G^{*-\lambda_i^\kappa}(B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H_G^*(B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}). \tag{4.5}$$

Now, set $Y_s^\pm := \{\pm\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s} \geq 0\}$. Obviously, we then have $Y_{s+1} = \{\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s} = 0\} = Y_s^+ \cap Y_s^-$. Let $0 < \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < ... < \kappa_m$ be the critical values of $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ attained on Y_s^+. Consider the following diagram, in which the top row is the long exact sequence of the pair $((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, (Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j})$, see Proposition 3.14. Note that $H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, Y_s^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, (Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F})$ by excision and homotopy equivalence. The following argument is similar to that in [GT10, Theorem 7.1]. The two vertical arrows on the left are isomorphisms by Arguments (4.2) and (4.3). The vertical arrow on the right and the diagonal arrow are the restriction to $B_i^{\kappa_j}$,the composition of h_j and the right vertical arrow is the restriction to $B_i^{\kappa_j}$ of the first factor. By Theorem 3.23, the diagram is commutative. Multiplication by $\oplus(\cdot E_i^\kappa)$ is injective by (4.5), therefore h_j has to be injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots \to & H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, Y_s^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{h_j} & H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) & \to & H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) & \to \ldots \\
& \cong\downarrow & & & & & \\
& \bigoplus_i H_{G,c}^*(\nu^- B_i^{\kappa_j}, \mathcal{F}) & & & & & \\
& \cong\downarrow & \searrow & & & & \\
& \bigoplus_i H_G^{*-\lambda_i^{\kappa_j}}(B_i^{\kappa_j}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow[\oplus(\cdot E_i^{\kappa_j})]{} & \bigoplus_i H_G^*(B_i^{\kappa_j}, \mathcal{F}). & & & \\
\end{array}$$

By injectivity of h_j, the long exact sequence turns into the short exact sequences

$$0 \to H_G^*(Y_s^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, Y_s^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\iota_j} H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) \to 0,$$

hence, the natural map ι_j is surjective. Furthermore, we know that the homotopy type does not change before crossing a critical value, cf. [Was69, Theorem 4.3], thus $H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_{j-1}+\epsilon_{j-1}}, \mathcal{F})$. In particular, $H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_0-\epsilon_0}, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F})$ and $H_G^*((Y_s)^+, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_m+\epsilon_m}, \mathcal{F})$. This yields the following sequence of surjective maps

$$H_G^*((Y_s)^+, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_m+\epsilon_m}, \mathcal{F}) \to \cdots \to H_G^*((Y_s^+)^{\kappa_0+\epsilon_0}, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Thus, the natural map $H_G^*(Y_s^+, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F})$ is surjective.

Analogous reasoning with $-\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ and, hence, the Euler classes of the positive normal bundles yields the surjectivity of $H_G^*(Y_s^-, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F})$.

These epimorphisms turn the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (see Proposition 3.10) of (Y_{s+1}, Y_s^+, Y_s^-) (more precisely, we apply Proposition 3.10 to the two open sets

$\{x \in Y_s \mid \pm\Psi^{X_{s+1}}(x) > -\delta\}$ which, for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, are $G\times T$-homotopy equivalent to $Y_s^{\pm}$) into the short exact sequences

$$0 \to H_G^*(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \overset{(j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*}{\to} H_G^*(Y_s^+, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H_G^*(Y_s^-, \mathcal{F}) \overset{(i^+)^* - (i^-)^*}{\to} H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F}) \to 0, \tag{4.6}$$

where $j^{\pm}\colon Y_s^{\pm} \hookrightarrow Y_s$ and $i^{\pm}\colon Y_{s+1} \hookrightarrow Y_s^{\pm}$ denote the inclusions. We claim that the composition of these maps induces an epimorphism in equivariant basic cohomology. So let $\omega \in H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F})$ be arbitrary. We know that $(i^{\pm})^*$ are surjective, hence there exist $\eta^{\pm} \in H_G^*(Y_s^{\pm}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(i^{\pm})^*(\eta^{\pm}) = \omega$. But this means that $\eta^+ + \eta^- \in \ker((i^+)^* - (i^-)^*) = \mathrm{im}((j^+)^* + (j^-)^*)$, i.e., there exists $\sigma \in H_G^*(Y_s, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\eta^+ + \eta^- = (j^+)^*(\sigma) + (j^-)^*(\sigma)$. This, however, yields $\omega = (i^+)^* \circ (j^+)^*(\sigma) = (i^-)^* \circ (j^-)^*(\sigma)$ and concludes the proof of the surjectivity

$$H_G^*(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Iteration for $s = 0, ..., r-1$ yields the desired sequence of epimorphisms

$$H_G^*(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(Y_0, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(Y_1, \mathcal{F}) \to ... \to H_G^*(Y_r, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}). \quad \square$$

Remark 4.5. The idea to obtain the Kirwan map as the composition of surjective maps $H_G^*(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow H_G^*(Y_{s+1}, \mathcal{F})$ stems from the approach used in [GGK02, Proof of Theorem G.13] and [BL10, Proof of Theorem 3.4]. To obtain surjectivity, Thom and Euler class arguments were also used in [BL10].

5 Examples

5.1 Boothby-Wang fibration

This example shows that Theorem 4.1, under the assumption that ξ induces a free S^1-action, reproduces Kirwan's surjectivity result for the symplectic S^1-quotient. In this case, $\{\psi_t\} = S^1 = T$ and $\pi\colon M \to M/\{\psi_t\} =: B$ is a G-equivariant principal S^1-bundle. $d\alpha$ descends to a symplectic form ω on B, $d\alpha = \pi^*\omega$ (see, e.g., [BG08, Theorem 6.1.26]). Furthermore, we have $H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_G(B)$ (compare Example 3.8 and [GT16, Example 3.14]). A symplectic moment map μ on B is defined up to a constant by $d(\mu^X) = \iota_{X_B}\omega$. Since $\mathcal{L}_X\alpha = 0$, however, this equation, when pulled back to M, is equivalent to $-d\pi^*\mu^X = d\iota_{X_M}\alpha$. $\iota_{X_M}\alpha$ is an S^1-invariant function, so there is a $f^X \in \Omega^0(B)$ such that $\pi^* f^X = \iota_{X_M}\alpha$. $\mu^X := -f^X$ then defines a moment map for the G-action on (B, ω). Then $\mu^{-1}(0) = \Psi^{-1}(0)/S^1$ and Theorem 4.1 yields the known Kirwan surjectivity induced by the inclusion $\mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow B$.

$$H_G^*(B) = H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow H_G^*(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(\Psi^{-1}(0)/S^1) = H_G^*(\mu^{-1}(0)).$$

5.2 S^1-action on a Weighted Sphere

We will also present an example where $T \neq S^1$.

Consider $(M, \alpha) = (S^{2n+1}, \alpha_w)$ from Example 2.3 with weight $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $w_j > 0$. If at least two w_j are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then T is a torus of rank ≥ 2. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{\frac{i}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^n z_j d\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}_j dz_j\right)}{\sum_{j=0}^n w_j |z_j|^2}, \quad \xi = i\left(\sum_{j=0}^n w_j (z_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j})\right).$$

The flow of ξ is given by $\psi_t(z) = (e^{itw_0} z_0, ..., e^{itw_n} z_n)$. Furthermore, let $G = S^1$ act (freely) on S^{2n+1} with weights $\beta = (1, ..., 1, -1)$, that is, by $\lambda \cdot z = (\lambda z_0, ..., \lambda z_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1} z_n)$. The fundamental vector field X corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathfrak{s}^1$ is given by

$$X(z) = i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (z_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}) - z_n \tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_n} + \bar{z}_n \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n}\right)$$

and we compute the contact moment map to be

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2 - |z_n|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^n w_j |z_j|^2} = \frac{1 - 2|z_n|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^n w_j |z_j|^2}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\Psi^{-1}(0) = \left\{ z \in S^{2n+1} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2 = \tfrac{1}{2} = |z_n|^2 \right\} = S^{2n-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^1\left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

To compute $H_G(M, \mathcal{F})$, consider the standard diagonal S^1-action on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ given by $\lambda \cdot z = (\lambda z_0, ..., \lambda z_n)$. This action is Hamiltonian (w.r.t. the standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$) and a moment map is given by $\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_j |z_j|^2$. Set $f := ||\mu - 1/2||^2$. The $G \times T$-action on M can naturally be extended to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. μ and, hence, f are $G \times T$-invariant. We will compute $H_G(M, \mathcal{F})$ by applying Morse theory with f on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, an idea learned from Jonathan Fisher, see also [Kir84]. The critical set of f is given by $\mathrm{Crit}(f) = \{0\} \dot{\cup} M$, and the critical values are $f(0) = 1/4$, $f(M) = 0$. The Hessian H of f at 0 is given by $-\mathrm{Id}$, which is nondegenerate. For $z \in M$, the normal direction (to M) is spanned by $Y := \sum z_j \partial_{z_j} + \bar{z}_j \partial_{\bar{z}_j}$ and $H_z(Y, Y) = 2$, which yields that H_z is non-degenerate in normal direction. It follows that f is a $G \times T$-invariant Morse-Bott function. Note that the relative $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}\xi$-equivariant cohomology is constructed as in Definition 3.13 and, analogously to Proposition 3.14, we obtain the long exact sequence of

the pair $(\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid f(z) \leq 1/4+\epsilon\}, \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid f(z) \leq 1/4-\epsilon\})$. Similarly, the relevant isomorphisms of Section 3.2 can be transferred to this setting and, as in (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{f \leq 1/4+\epsilon\},&\{f \leq 1/4-\epsilon\}) \\ &\cong H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{f \leq 1/4-\epsilon\} \cup_{S^{\nu\{0\}}} D^{\nu\{0\}}, \{f \leq 1/4-\epsilon\}) \\ &\cong H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(D^{\nu\{0\}}, S^{\nu\{0\}}) \\ &\cong H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi,c}(\mathring{D}^{\nu\{0\}}) \\ &\cong H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi,c}(\nu\{0\}) \\ &\cong H^{*-2(n+1)}_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid f(z) \leq 1/4+\epsilon\}$ is a $G \times T$-equivariant retraction of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and that $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid f(z) \leq 1/4-\epsilon\}$ $G \times T$-equivariantly retracts onto M. We obtain the isomorphism

$$T : H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, M) \cong H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{f \leq 1/4+\epsilon\}, \{f \leq 1/4-\epsilon\}) \cong H^{*-2(n+1)}_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}).$$

The long exact sequence than looks as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \to & H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, M) & \to & H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) & \to & H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(M) & \to \ldots \\ & \cong\downarrow T & & & & & \\ & H^{*-2(n+1)}_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}) & & & & & \end{array}$$

In this diagram, the combination of T^{-1} with the restriction from $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ to $\{0\}$, $H^{*-2(n+1)}_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\})$ is multiplication with the equivariant Euler class e of the normal bundle to $\{0\}$, which is injective (this is seen analogously to Lemma 4.4). We obtain short exact sequences

$$0 \to H^{*-2(n+1)}_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}) \stackrel{\cdot e}{\to} H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\{0\}) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(M) \to 0. \tag{5.1}$$

We will now compute e. The (negative) normal bundle is the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \{0\}$ which is the product of the line bundles $\nu_j := \mathbb{C}_j \times \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{C}_j$ denotes the j-th coordinate. The bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of ν_j is the trivial bundle $P_j = SO(2) \times \{0\}$. The canonical flat connection form θ_j on P_j is invariant under $G \times \{\psi_t\}$. The vector fields generated by X and ξ on P_j coincide with the fundamental vector fields of the $SO(2)$-action with weights β_j, w_j, respectively, so $\iota_X\theta_j = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\beta_j \\ \beta_j & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\iota_\xi\theta_j = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -w_j \\ w_j & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since the curvature of θ_j is zero, the Euler class e_j of ν_j is then given by $\mathrm{Pf}(-\iota_X\theta_j u - \iota_\xi\theta_j s) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(u\beta_j + sw_j)$, where (u, s) are dual to (X, ξ). We then obtain e as $e = \prod_j e_j = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}(-u + w_n s)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(u + w_j s)$.

The short exact sequence (5.1) then yields that, as $(\mathbb{R}[u] = S(\mathfrak{g}^*))$-algebra,

$$H_G(M,\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{R}[u,s]/\langle e\rangle = \mathbb{R}[u,s] \Big/ \left\langle (-u + w_n s)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(u + w_j s) \right\rangle .$$

G acts freely on $\Psi^{-1}(0)$, so $H_G(\Psi^{-1}(0),\mathcal{F}) = H(\Psi^{-1}(0)/G,\mathcal{F})$ by Proposition 3.5, where we denote the induced foliation on the quotient also by $\mathcal{F}$. $\Psi^{-1}(0)/G$ is $\{\psi_t\}$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to $S^{2n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ via $[z] \mapsto (\sqrt{2}z_n z_0, ..., \sqrt{2}z_n z_{n-1})$, where ψ_t acts on $S^{2n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ by $\psi_t(z) = (e^{it(w_0+w_n)}z_0, ..., e^{it(w_{n-1}+w_n)}z_n)$. This is the Reeb flow of a weighted Sasakian structure on S^{2n-1}, hence, the induced foliation is defined by the Reeb vector field belonging to this Sasakian structure. It follows that the basic cohomology ring $H^*(\Psi^{-1}(0)/G,\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$, see [BG08, Proposition 7.5.29].

We will now compute the restriction from $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(M)$ to $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\Psi^{-1}(0))$. Since $\iota_X\alpha$ vanishes on $\Psi^{-1}(0)$ and $\iota_\xi\alpha = 1$, we have $0 = [d_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}\alpha] = [d\alpha - s]$ in $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\Psi^{-1}(0))$. Similarly, consider the $G\times T$-invariant 1-form

$$\gamma := w_n\alpha - i(z_n d\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}_n dz_n).$$

On $\Psi^{-1}(0)$, we have $\gamma(\xi) = 0$ and $\gamma(X) = 1$, so $d_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}\gamma = d\gamma + u$. Since $d(i(z_n d\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}_n dz_n))$ vanishes on $\Psi^{-1}(0)$, it is $d\gamma = w_n d\alpha$. It follows that $[u] = [w_n d\alpha] = [w_n s]$ in $H^*_{\mathfrak{g}+\mathbb{R}\xi}(\Psi^{-1}(0))$. Note that $\alpha|_{\Psi^{-1}(0)}$ is G-basic, so $d\alpha^{\wedge n}|_{\Psi^{-1}(0)} = 0$. Under $[s] \mapsto [\frac{1}{w_n}u]$, $u^n \mapsto 0$, $H_G(M,\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{R}[u,s]/\langle e\rangle$ is surjectively mapped to $\mathbb{R}[u]/\langle u^n\rangle \cong H(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$.

6 The Kernel of the Kirwan Map

In this section, we derive a description of the kernel of the basic Kirwan map for $G = S^1$. Recall that (M,α) denotes a compact K-contact manifold, ξ its Reeb vector field and Ψ the contact moment map for the action of a torus G on M that preserves α. We assume that 0 is a regular value of Ψ. Throughout this section, (X_s) denotes a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ according to Proposition 2.13. Recall that we set $Y_s = (\Psi^{X_1}, ..., \Psi^{X_s})^{-1}(0)$, $Y_0 = M$, and $Y_s^\pm = \{\pm\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s} \geq 0\}$. As in the previous section, denote the inclusions by $\iota_s : Y_{s+1} \to Y_s$, $\iota_s^\pm : Y_{s+1} \to Y_s^\pm$ and $j_s^\pm : Y_s^\pm \to Y_s$. Additionally, set $C_s := Crit(\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s})$. Recall that $C_1 = Crit(\Psi)$, see Lemma 2.18.

We adjust the computations that Tolman and Weitsman did in the symplectic setting ([TW03, Section 3]) to our case. Note that we apply the results they obtained for S^1-actions to the components $\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ for actions of tori of arbitrary rank. The following Lemma corresponds to [TW03, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f = \Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ or $f = -\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ and let κ be any critical value of f. Denote with B_i^κ the connected components of $C_s \cap f^{-1}(\kappa) =: C_s^\kappa$ and with λ_i^κ their indices. Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $[\kappa - \epsilon, \kappa + \epsilon]$ does not contain a critical value besides κ. Then there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \oplus_i H_G^{*-\lambda_i^\kappa}(B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, \kappa+\epsilon]), \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, \kappa-\epsilon]), \mathcal{F}) \to 0,$$

such that the composition of the injection φ with the restriction to C_s^κ is the sum of the products with the Euler classes $E_i^\kappa \in H_G^{\lambda_i^\kappa}(B_i^\kappa, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}\xi}^{\lambda_i^\kappa}(B_i^\kappa)$ of the negative normal bundles of the B_i^κ.

Proof. The proof works analogous to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

The symplectic analogue of the following proposition was remarked after Theorem 3.2 in [TW03].

Proposition 6.2. *Let $f = \Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$ or $f = -\Psi^{X_{s+1}}|_{Y_s}$. For every regular value a of f, the restriction*

$$H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]), \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \cap C_s, \mathcal{F})$$

is injective.

Proof. This proposition is proved by induction on the number k of critical values below a. For $k = 1$, the Morse-Bott property of f yields a bijection by Proposition 3.12 since the homotopy type does not change before crossing another critical value. Now, suppose the claim holds for k. Let a be a regular value of f with $k+1$ critical values below it and let $\delta > 0$ such that $a - \delta$ is regular and such that there are k critical values below $a - \delta$. Lemma 6.1 then yields that the restriction of $H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]), \mathcal{F})$ to $H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a-\delta]), \mathcal{F})$ is surjective and we obtain the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]), \mathcal{F}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a-\delta]), \mathcal{F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \cap C_s, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a-\delta]) \cap C_s, \mathcal{F}) \end{array} .$$

Suppose $\sigma \in H_G^*(f^{-1}((-\infty, a]), \mathcal{F}) : \sigma|_{f^{-1}((-\infty,a])\cap C_s} = 0$. In particular, we have $\sigma|_{f^{-1}((-\infty,a-\delta])\cap C_s} = 0$, so by our induction's assumption, it is $\sigma|_{f^{-1}((-\infty,a-\delta])} = 0$. I.e., σ lies in the kernel of the restriction to $f^{-1}((-\infty, a-\delta])$. By Lemmata 6.1 and 4.4, the restriction of this kernel to C_s^κ is injective. But $\sigma|_{C_s^\kappa} = 0$, hence, $\sigma = 0$. □

Since we assumed 0 to be a regular value, we obtain as a direct consequence

Corollary 6.3. *The following restrictions are injective:*

$$H^*_G(Y^\pm_s, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(Y^\pm_s \cap C_s, \mathcal{F})$$
$$H^*_G(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(C_s, \mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, $H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(Crit(\Psi), \mathcal{F})$ *and* $H^*_G(M^\pm, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(Crit(\Psi) \cap M^\pm, \mathcal{F})$ *are injective.*

Corollary 6.4. *Set* $K^\pm_s := \{\sigma \in H^*_G(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \mid \sigma|_{Y^\pm_s \cap C_s} = 0\}$. *Then we have* $K^\pm_s = \ker((j^\pm_s)^*)$, *where* $j^\pm_s : Y^\pm_s \to Y_s$ *denotes the inclusion.*

Proof. Obviously $\ker((j^\pm_s)^*) \subset K^\pm_s$. Corollary 6.3 yields the reverse inclusion. □

Remark 6.5. We also know that the induced maps in equivariant basic cohomology $(j^\pm_s)^* : H^*_G(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(Y^\pm_s, \mathcal{F})$ are surjective.

Proof. We know from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $(\iota^\pm_s)^*$ is surjective. So for every $\omega^\pm \in H^*_G(Y^\pm_s, \mathcal{F})$ there exists $\omega^\mp \in H^*_G(Y^\mp_s, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(\iota^\pm_s)^*\omega^\pm = (\iota^\mp_s)^*\omega^\mp$. Exactness of (4.6) yields that $\omega^+ + \omega^- \in \ker((\iota^+_s)^* - (\iota^-_s)^*) = \mathrm{im}((j^+_s)^* + (j^-_s)^*)$, hence, there exists $\sigma \in H^*_G(Y_s, \mathcal{F}) : \omega^\pm = (j^\pm_s)^*\sigma$. □

As a consequence of the previous corollary, we then obtain the following, which is the contact analogue of [TW03, Theorem 2].

Theorem 6.6. *Let* $G = S^1$ *and set* $C^\pm := \mathrm{Crit}(\Psi) \cap M^\pm$, $K^\pm = \{\sigma \in H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \mid \sigma|_{C^\pm} = 0\}$. *The kernel* K *of the Kirwan map* $H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \to H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F})$ *is given by*

$$K = K^+ \oplus K^-.$$

Proof. By Corollary 6.4, $K^\pm = \ker(j^\pm)^*$. It follows that $K^\pm \subset \ker(i^\pm)^* \circ (j^\pm)^*$, so $K^+ \oplus K^-$ lies in the kernel of the Kirwan map. For the reverse inclusion, consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (see Proposition 3.10) for (M, M^+, M^-) (or, more precisely, of the two open sets $\{x \in M \mid \pm\Psi(x) < \epsilon\}$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ which, by the Morse-Bott property of Ψ, are of the same $G \times T$-homotopy type as $M^\pm$.). In (4.6), we saw that it actually consists of the short exact sequences

$$0 \to H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \overset{(j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*}{\to} H^*_G(M^+, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H^*_G(M^-, \mathcal{F}) \overset{(i^+)^* - (i^-)^*}{\to} H^*_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}) \to 0.$$

Now, suppose η lies in the kernel of the Kirwan map, i.e., $(i^\pm)^*(j^\pm)^*\eta = 0$. This means, however, that $(j^+)^*\eta \oplus 0$ and $0 \oplus (j^-)^*\eta$ lie in the kernel of $(i^+)^* - (i^-)^*$. By exactness of above sequence, there exist $\eta^\pm \in H^*_G(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*(\eta^\pm) = (j^\pm)^*\eta$, in particular, $\eta^\pm \in K^\pm$ by Corollary 6.4. Then $(j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*(\eta^+ + \eta^-) = (j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*(\eta)$. But $(j^+)^* \oplus (j^-)^*$ is injective because the sequence is exact, thus $\eta = \eta^+ + \eta^- \in K^+ \oplus K^-$. □

Remark 6.7. We remark that Theorem 6.6 can also be proved similarly to the proof presented in [TW03, Theorem 2]. In the symplectic case, this proof generalizes to the setting of the action of higher rank tori, where Morse-Bott theory of the norm square of the symplectic moment map is applied. We believe that, in the contact setting, an analogous description of the kernel holds for the action of tori of higher rank, as well. This is work in progress.

Example 6.8. Let us continue the example presented in Section 5.2, with $w = (1, ..., 1, w_n)$. Then

$$H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{R}[u, s]/\langle e \rangle = \mathbb{R}[u, s]/\langle (u+s)^n(-u + w_n s)\rangle.$$

We have $M^+ = \{z \in S^{2n+1} \mid |z_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $M^- = \{z \in S^{2n+1} \mid |z_n|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ so that $C^+ = Crit(\Psi) \cap M^+ = S^{2n-1} \times \{0\}$ and $C^- = Crit(\Psi) \cap M^- = \{0\} \times S^1$. Making use of homotopy equivalences, Lemma 6.1 with Ψ yields that we have a short exact sequence and a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & H_G^{*-\lambda^+}(C^+, \mathcal{F}) & \to & H_G^*(M, \mathcal{F}) & \to & H_G^*(C^-, \mathcal{F}) & \to & 0, \\ & & & \searrow^{\cdot e(\nu^- C^+)} & \cong\downarrow i_{C^+}^* & & & & \\ & & & & H_G^*(C^+, \mathcal{F}) & & & & \end{array}$$

where $e(\nu^- C^+)$ denotes the equivariant basic Euler class of the negative normal bundle $\nu^- C^+$ of C^+ and λ^+ the rank of $\nu^- C^+$ and $i_{C^+} : C^+ \to M$ denotes the inclusion. Similarly, with $-\Psi$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & H_G^{*-\lambda^-}(C^-, \mathcal{F}) & \to & H_G^*(M, \mathcal{F}) & \to & H_G^*(C^+, \mathcal{F}) & \to & 0, \\ & & & \searrow^{\cdot e(\nu^+ C^-)} & \cong\downarrow i_{C^-}^* & & & & \\ & & & & H_G^*(C^-, \mathcal{F}) & & & & \end{array}$$

where $e(\nu^+ C^-)$ denotes the equivariant basic Euler class of the positive normal bundle $\nu^+ C^-$ of C^- and λ^- the rank of $\nu^+ C^-$ and $i_{C^-} : C^- \to M$ denotes the inclusion. Note that the standard Riemannian metric g on S^{2n+1} is $S^1 \times T$-invariant. The normal bundles of C^+ and C^- are then given by $\nu C^+ = (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) \times C^+ = \text{span}\{\partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_n}\}$ and $\nu C^- = (\mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}) \times C_2 = \text{span}\{\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{y_j} \mid j = 0, ..., n-1\}$, respectively, where we used the notation $z_j = x_j + iy_j$. In these bases, the Hessian H of Ψ computes as

$$H|_{\nu C^+} = \begin{pmatrix} -2(1+w_n) & 0 \\ 0 & -2(1+w_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad H|_{\nu C^-} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(1+w_n)}{w_n^2} & 0 & & & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2(1+w_n)}{w_n^2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \frac{2(1+w_n)}{w_n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & \frac{2(1+w_n)}{w_n^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $w_n > 0$, it follows that $\nu^- C^+ = \nu C^+$ and $\nu^+ C^- = \nu C^-$. Similarly to the computation in Section 5.2, we compute $i^*_{C^+} = (s \mapsto d\alpha - u)$, $i^*_{C^-} = (s \mapsto \frac{u}{w_n})$ and the Euler classes

$$e(\nu^- C^+) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(-u + sw_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(w_n d\alpha - (1 + w_n)u),$$
$$e(\nu^+ C^-) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}(u + s)^n = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{w_n}}{2\pi}\right)^n u^n.$$

Since the inclusion $C^+ \cup C^- \to M$ induces an injective map in equivariant basic cohomology by Corollary 6.3, $K^\pm$ consists exactly of those classes that vanish when restricted to $C^\pm$ and that are a multiple of $e(\nu C^\mp)$ when restricted to $C^\mp$. Again making use of injectivity, we get

$$K^+ = \mathbb{R}[u, s] \cdot (u + s)^n / \langle e \rangle \qquad \subset \mathbb{R}[u, s]/\langle e \rangle \text{ and}$$
$$K^- = \mathbb{R}[u, s] \cdot (-u + sw_n)/\langle e \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}[u, s]/\langle e \rangle.$$

Indeed, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_G(M, \mathcal{F}) \big/ \big(K^+ + K^-\big) &\cong \mathbb{R}[u, s] \,/(\mathbb{R}[u, s] \cdot (u + s)^n + \mathbb{R}[u, s] \cdot (-u + sw_n)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}[u] \,/\langle u^n \rangle \\ &\cong H_G(\Psi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

7 Equivariant Formality

Another well-known result concerning the equivariant cohomology of a symplectic manifold is the *formality* of Hamiltonian actions of compact connected Lie groups H on compact symplectic manifolds N, namely that $H_H(N)$ is a free $S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$-module (cf. [Kir84, Proposition 5.8]). In this section, we will show that this property also holds for torus actions on K-contact manifolds if we consider the basic setting. For a study of equivariantly formal actions in the setting of equivariant basic cohomology of transverse actions, the reader is referred to [GT16, Section 3.6].

Definition 7.1. Let $(N, \mathcal{E})$ be any foliated manifold, acted on by a torus H s.t. $\Omega(N, \mathcal{E})$ is an H^*-algebra. The H-action on $(N, \mathcal{E})$ is called *equivariantly formal*, if $H^*_H(N, \mathcal{E})$ is a free $S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$-module.

Recall that we considered a torus G, that acts on a K-contact manifold (M, α), leaving α invariant, in such a way that the contact moment map Ψ has 0 as a regular value. We work with a basis (X_i) of $\mathfrak{g}$ according to Proposition 2.13 and we, again, denote the foliation induced by the Reeb vector field ξ with $\mathcal{F}$ and the 1-dimensional $G \times T$-orbits, i.e., the critical points of Ψ^{X_1} and Ψ, by C, where T denotes the closure of $\{\psi_t\}$.

Lemma 7.2. *The G-action on $(C, \mathcal{F})$ is equivariantly formal. More precisely, we have*

$$H_G^*(C, \mathcal{F}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes H^*(C, \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. We have $C = Crit(\Psi) = \{x \in M \mid \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x = \mathfrak{g}\}$ by Proposition 2.13, *(v)*. Lemma 3.9 with $\mathfrak{k} = \{0\}$ yields the claim. □

Proposition 7.3. *The G-action on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is equivariantly formal.*

Proof. Consider $X = X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ as in Proposition 2.13. By Lemma 7.2, the G-action on C is equivariantly formal. Let $\kappa_1 < ... < \kappa_m$ be the critical values of Ψ^X and denote with $B_1^{\kappa_j}, ..., B_{i_j}^{\kappa_j}$ the connected components of the critical set C at level κ_j and with $\lambda_i^{\kappa_j}$ the indices of the non-degenerate critical submanifolds $B_i^{\kappa_j}$ with respect to $\mathrm{Hess}(\Psi^X)$. Since Ψ^X is a Morse-Bott function, we obtain (similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1) the long exact sequences

$$\cdots \to \oplus_i H_G^{*-\lambda_i^{\kappa_j}}(B_i^{\kappa_j}, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(M^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) \to H_G^*(M^{\kappa_j-\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) \to \cdots$$

If $H_G^*(M^{\kappa_j+\epsilon_j}, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(M^{\kappa_{j+1}-\epsilon_{j+1}}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\oplus_i H_G^{*-\lambda_i^{\kappa_j}}(B_i^{\kappa_j}, \mathcal{F})$ are free $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$-modules, then $H_G^*(M^{\kappa_{j+1}+\epsilon_{j+1}}, \mathcal{F})$ has to be free, as well, by exactness. Hence, induction on j yields that $H_G^*(M, \mathcal{F}) = H_G^*(M^{\kappa_m+\epsilon_m}, \mathcal{F})$ is a free $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$-module. □

References

[AB83] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, pages 523–615, 1983.

[BG08] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki. *Sasakian geometry*. Oxford University Press Oxford, 2008.

[BL10] T. Baird and Y. Lin. Topology of generalized complex quotients. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 60(10):1539–1557, 2010.

[Bla76] D. Blair. *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1976.

[BT13] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[Car50] H. Cartan. La transgression dans un groupe de lie et dans un espace fibré principal. In *Colloque de Topologie Bruxelles*, pages 57–71, 1950.

[CdS01] A. Cannas da Silva. *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, volume 1764 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.

[CF] L. Casselmann and J. Fisher. Localization for K-contact manifolds. In preparation.

[Duf83] J. Duflot. Smooth toral actions. *Topology*, 22(3):253–265, 1983.

[GGK02] V. L. Ginzburg, V. Guillemin, and Y. Karshon. *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, volume 98. American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[GNT11] O. Goertsches, H. Nozawa, and D. Töben. Equivariant cohomology of K-contact manifolds. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–28, 2011.

[GNT15] O. Goertsches, H. Nozawa, and D. Töben. Localization of Chern-Simons type invariants of Riemannian foliations. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1508.07973*, 2015.

[GT10] O. Goertsches and D. Töben. Torus actions whose equivariant cohomology is Cohen–Macaulay. *Journal of Topology*, 3(4):819–846, 2010.

[GT16] O. Goertsches and D. Töben. Equivariant basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2016.

[GS99] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Supersymmetry and Equivariant de Rham Theory*, volume 2. Springer, 1999.

[Kir84] F. Kirwan. *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, volume 31. Princeton University Press, 1984.

[Kob58] S. Kobayashi. Fixed points of isometries. *Nagoya Math. J*, 13(1):63–68, 1958.

[Ler04] E. Lerman. Question 3.1. In *AIM Workshop on Moment Maps and Surjectivity in Various Geometries: Conjectures and Further Questions*, 2004.

[Mol88] P. Molino. *Riemannian Foliations*. Birkhauser Boston Inc., 1988.

[PV07] P.-E. Paradan and M. Vergne. Equivariant relative Thom forms and Chern characters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0711.3898*, 2007.

[Rei59] B. L. Reinhart. Harmonic integrals on foliated manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 81(2):529–536, 1959.

[Ruk95] P. Rukimbira. Topology and closed characteristics of K-contact manifolds. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society*, 2(3):349–356, 1995.

[Ruk99] P. Rukimbira. On K-contact manifolds with minimal number of closed characteristics. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 127:3345–3352, 1999.

[TW03] S. Tolman and J. Weitsman. The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients. *Communications in analysis and geometry*, 11(4):751–774, 2003.

[Was69] A. G. Wasserman. Equivariant differential topology. *Topology*, 8(2):127–150, 1969.